IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO
Magistrate Judge Craig B. Shaffer

Civil Action No. 10-cv-00510-REB-CBS

COMPREHENSIVE ADDICTION TREATMENT CENTER, INC.,
a Colorado corporation, and
PAMELA MANUELE,

Plaintiffs,

v.

DARIA LESLEA,
individually, and in her official capacity as Controlled Substance Administrative,
Division of Behavioral Health f/k/a Alcohol and Drug Abuse Division,
Colorado Department of Human Services,
JANET WOOD,
individually, and in her official capacity as Director, Division of Behavioral Health
f/k/a Alcohol and Drug Abuse Division, Colorado Department of Human Services,
MARY McCANN,
individually, and in her official capacity as Clinical Director, Division of Behavioral Health
f/k/a Alcohol and Drug Abuse Division, Colorado Department of Human Services,
KAREN BEYE,
individually, and in her official capacity as Executive Director, Colorado Department of Human Services,
JOSCELYN GAY,
individually, and in her official capacity as Deputy Executive Director,
Office of Behavioral Health, Colorado Department of Human Services,
KAREN MOONEY,
individually, and in her official capacity as Treatment Field Manager,
Division of Behavioral Health f/k/a Alcohol and Drug Abuse Division, Colorado
Department of Human Services, and
THE COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES,
DEPARTMENT OF BEHAVIORAL HEALTH,

Defendants.

**ORDER GRANTING MOTION AND
RESETTING RULE 16(b) SCHEDULING CONFERENCE
AND RULE 26(f) PLANNING MEETING**

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendants' Unopposed Motion to Vacate Scheduling Conference of May 13, 2010 is GRANTED. The May 13, 2010, scheduling conference is VACATED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED:

(1) The court shall hold a FED.R.CIV.P. 16(b) scheduling and planning conference on

May 25, 2010, at
9:00 a.m. (Mountain Time)

The conference shall be held in Courtroom A-402, Fourth Floor, of the Alfred A. Arraj U.S. Courthouse, 901 19th Street, Denver, Colorado. If this date is not convenient for any party[1], he or she shall confer with opposing parties and contact the court to reschedule the conference to a more convenient time. **Please remember that anyone seeking entry into the Alfred A. Arraj United States Courthouse will be required to show valid photo identification. See D.C.COLO.LCivR 83.2B**.

A copy of instructions for the preparation of a scheduling order and a form scheduling order can be downloaded from the "Forms" section on the Court's website (http://www.cod.uscourts.gov/Forms.aspx) under the heading "Standardized Order Forms". Effective December 1, 2009, the court modified the standard scheduling order and added a specialized scheduling order for administrative record review matters. Parties shall submit a proposed scheduling order that complies with the Local Rules in effect after December 1, 2009.

The parties shall submit their proposed scheduling order, pursuant to District of Colorado Electronic Case Filing ("ECF") Procedures V.L., on or before:

5:00 p.m. (Mountain Time) on
May 18, 2010

(2) In preparation for the scheduling/planning conference, the parties are directed to confer in accordance with FED.R.CIV.P. 26(f), on or before:

May 7, 2010

The court strongly encourages the parties to meet face to face, but should that prove impossible, the parties may meet by telephone conference. All parties are jointly responsible for arranging and attending the Rule 26(f) meeting.

---

[1]The term "party" as used in this Order means counsel for any party represented by a lawyer, and any *pro se* party not represented by a lawyer.

During the Rule 26(f) meeting, the parties shall discuss the nature and basis of their claims and defenses and the possibilities for a prompt settlement or resolution of the case, make or arrange for the disclosures required by FED.R.CIV.P. 26(a)(1), and develop their proposed scheduling/discovery plan. The parties should also discuss the possibility of informal discovery, such as conducting joint interviews with potential witnesses, joint meetings with clients, depositions via telephone, or exchanging documents outside of formal discovery.

In those cases in which: (i) the parties' substantive allegations involve extensive computer-generated records; (ii) a substantial amount of disclosure or discovery will involve information or records in electronic form (*i.e.*, e-mail, word processing, databases); (iii) expert witnesses will develop testimony based in large part on computer data and/or modeling; or (iv) any party plans to present a substantial amount of evidence in digital form at trial, the parties shall confer regarding steps they can take to preserve computer records and data, facilitate computer-based discovery and who will pay costs, resolve privilege issues, limit discovery costs and delay, and avoid discovery disputes relating to electronic discovery. The parties shall be prepared to discuss these issues, as appropriate, in the proposed Scheduling Order and at the scheduling and planning conference.

These are the minimum requirements for the Rule 26(f) meeting. The parties are encouraged to have a comprehensive discussion and are required to approach the meeting cooperatively and in good faith. The parties are reminded that the purpose of the Rule 26(f) meeting is to expedite the disposition of the action, discourage wasteful pretrial activities, and improve the quality of any eventual trial through more thorough preparation. The discussion of claims and defenses shall be a substantive, meaningful discussion.

The parties are reminded that pursuant to FED.R.CIV.P. 26(d), no discovery shall be sought prior to the Rule 26(f) meeting. The parties are reminded that they may begin formal discovery immediately after the Rule 26(f) conference. Parties are also on notice that District Judge Blackburn will make every effort to schedule this matter for trial within fifteen (15) months from the filing of the complaint.

(3) The parties shall comply with the mandatory disclosure requirements of FED.R.CIV.P. 26(a)(1) on or before:

May 18, 2010

Counsel and parties are reminded that mandatory disclosure requirements encompass computer-based evidence which may be used to support claims or defenses. Mandatory disclosures must be supplemented by the parties consistent with the requirements of FED.R.CIV.P. 26(e). Mandatory disclosures and supplementation are not to be filed with the Clerk of the Court.

(4) This matter also is referred to Magistrate Judge Shaffer for settlement purposes and with the authority to convene such settlement conferences and direct related procedures as may facilitate resolution of this case. The scheduling and planning conference is not a settlement conference, and no client representative is required to appear. Nonetheless, to facilitate an early evaluation for the possibility of

settlement, parties shall e-mail a ***brief*** (15 pages or less including any attachments) Confidential Settlement Statement in PDF format to **Shaffer_Chambers@cod.uscourts.gov** on or before 5:00 p.m. (Mountain Time) on **May 18, 2010**. This statement shall briefly outline the facts and issues involved in the case, and the possibilities for settlement, including any settlement authority from the client. Confidential settlements that are over fifteen (15) pages are to be submitted to the court as hard copies and shall be delivered to the office of the Clerk of the Court in an envelope marked "PRIVATE PER MAGISTRATE JUDGE SHAFFER'S ORDERS".

(5) All parties are expected to be familiar with the United States District Court for the District of Colorado Local Rules of Practice (D.C.COLOL.CIVR.). Copies are available through the District Court's web site: www.cod.uscourts.gov.

All out-of-state counsel shall comply with D.C.COLOL.CIVR. 83.3 prior to the Scheduling/Planning Conference.

DATED at Denver, Colorado, this 3rd day of May, 2010.

BY THE COURT:

s/Craig B. Shaffer
Craig B. Shaffer
United States Magistrate Judge