## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Civil Action No. 10-cv-00517-BNB

JERRY LEWIS DEDRICK,

Applicant,

MAR 1 0 2010

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DENVER, COLORADO

GREGORY C. LANGHAM

٧.

CHARLES DANIELS, Warden,

Respondent.

## ORDER TO FILE PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

Applicant, Jerry Lewis Dedrick, is in the custody of the United States Bureau of Prisons (BOP) at the Unite State Penitentiary in Florence, Colorado. Mr. Dedrick, acting **pro se**, initiated this action by filing an Application for a Writ of Habeas Corpus Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241.

As part of the preliminary consideration of the Application for a Writ of Habeas Corpus Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241 in this case, the Court has determined that a limited Preliminary Response is appropriate. Respondent is directed pursuant to Redmon v. Wiley, 550 F. Supp. 2d 1275 (D. Colo. 2008), and Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts, to file a Preliminary Response limited to addressing the affirmative defense of exhaustion of administrative remedies. If Respondent does not intend to raise this affirmative defense, Respondent must notify the Court of that decision in the Preliminary Response. Respondent may not file a dispositive motion as a Preliminary Response, or an Answer, or otherwise address the merits of the claims in response to this Order.

In support of the Preliminary Response, Respondent should attach as exhibits copies of any administrative grievances Mr. Dedrick has filed raising the issues asserted in the Application, as well as any responses to those grievances. Mr. Dedrick may reply to the Preliminary Response and provide any information that might be relevant to his efforts to exhaust administrative remedies. Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that within twenty-one days from the date of this Order

Respondent shall file a Preliminary Response that complies with this Order. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that within twenty-one days of the filing of the

Preliminary Response Mr. Dedrick may file a Reply, if he desires. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that if Respondent does not intend to raise the affirmative defense of exhaustion of administrative remedies, Respondent must notify the Court of that decision in the Preliminary Response.

DATED March 10, 2010, at Denver, Colorado.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Boyd N. Boland
United States Magistrate Judge

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

## **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

Civil Action No. 10-cv-00517-BNB

Jerry Lewis Dedrick Reg No. 27140-180 US Penitentiary Florence P.O. Box 7000 Florence, CO 81226

Charles Daniels, Warden, c/o Christopher B. Synsvoll Attorney Advisor DELIVERED ELECTRONICALLY

United States Attorney General - **CERTIFIED** Room 5111, Main Justice Bldg. 10<sup>th</sup> and Constitution, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20530

United States Attorney
District of Colorado
DELIVERED ELECTRONICALLY

I hereby certify that I have mailed a copy of the ORDER to the above-named individuals, and the following forms to Chris Snysvoll for service of process on Charles Daniels; The United States Attorney General; and to the United States Attorney's Office: APPLICATION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS FILED 3/5/10 on 31010.

GREGORY C. LANGHAM, CLERK

By: Deputy Clerk