Stallings v. Miller et al Doc. 24

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Civil Action No. 10-cv-00600-ZLW

ROBERT E. STALLINGS,

Applicant,

v.

JUDGE DAVID MILLER,
KALICKI,
DAVID CHRISTOPHER, DA,
ADAM FRANK, Public Defendant, and
THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF COLORADO,

Respondents.

ORDER DENYING LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS ON APPEAL

Weinshienk, Senior Judge

Applicant has submitted a Notice of Appeal. Applicant previously was allowed to proceed *in forma pauperis* pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915 in this court in this action. The court has examined the file and has determined that leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* on appeal must be denied. Pursuant to Rule 24(a)(3) of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, the court finds that this appeal is not taken in good faith because applicant has not shown the existence of a reasoned, nonfrivolous argument on the law and facts in support of the issues raised on appeal. Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* on appeal is denied because this appeal is not taken in good faith.

DATED at Denver, Colorado, this <u>15th</u> day of <u>June</u>, 2010.

BY THE COURT:

CHRISTINE M. ARGUELLO

Christme Magnello

United States District Judge for

ZITA LEESON WEINSHIENK

Senior Judge, United States District Court