IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Civil Action No. 10-cv-01075-REB-KMT

LAWRENCE COUNTRYMAN, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, Plaintiff,

٧.

FARMERS INSURANCE EXCHANGE, an insurer, and owner of MID-CENTURY INSURANCE COMPANY, a California corporation, and MID-CENTURY INSURANCE COMPANY, a California corporation,

Defendants.

FINAL JUDGMENT

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 58(a), and the orders entered in this case, **Final Judgment** is entered.

A. Pursuant to the **Order Granting Motion To Dismiss** [#100] entered by Judge Robert E. Blackburn on June 6, 2012, which order is incorporated by reference,

IT IS ORDERED as follows:

- That Defendants Farmers Insurance Exchange and Mid-Century
 Insurance Company's Supplemental Motion To Dismiss [#97] filed March 21, 2012,
 is GRANTED;
- That plaintiff's claims implicating the two-year limitation subclass areDISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE; and
- 3. That **JUDGMENT IS NOW ENTERED** on behalf of defendants, Farmers Insurance Exchange, an insurer and owner of Mid-Century Insurance Company, a

California Corporation; and Mid-Century Insurance Company, a California Corporation,

against plaintiff, Lawrence Countryman, on behalf of himself and all others similarly

situated, as to the claims implicating the two-year limitation subclass, set forth at

sections VIII and IX of the Rule 23 Complaint and Jury Demand ¶¶ 140-180 at 33-40

[#2] filed May 7, 2010; provided, that **JUDGMENT** as to these claims **IS WITH**

PREJUDICE.

B. On notice of the filing of the **Stipulation of Dismissal** [#116] filed October 15,

2012, and as memorialized in the **Order of Dismissal** [#117] entered by Judge Robert

E. Blackburn on October 16, 2012, which order is incorporated by reference,

IT IS ORDERED as follows:

1. That the Stipulation of Dismissal is APPROVED; and

2. That all remaining claims of plaintiff, Lawrence Countryman against defendants

Farmers Insurance Exchange and Mid-Century Insurance Company, except for those

claims previously dismissed with prejudice by the Order Granting Motion To Dismiss

[#100] and which plaintiff has indicated an intention to appeal, are **DISMISSED WITH**

PREJUDICE, with each party to bear its own costs, attorney fees, and other expenses of

litigation.

DATED at Denver, Colorado, this 19th day of October, 2012.

FOR THE COURT:

JEFFREY P. COLWELL, CLERK

By: s/Edward P. Butler Edward P. Butler

Deputy Clerk