

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO  
Senior District Judge Richard P. Matsch**

Date: May 9, 2012  
Courtroom Deputy: J. Chris Smith  
FTR Technician: Kathy Terasaki

---

Civil Action No. 10-cv-01081-RPM

RONALD R. PACE,

Trocom E. Williams

Plaintiff,

v.

WOODMEN HILLS METROPOLITAN DISTRICT,  
a political subdivision of the State of Colorado,  
LARRY BISHOP, and  
JANICE L. PIZZI

William T. O'Connell, III

Defendants.

---

**COURTROOM MINUTES**

---

**Hearing on Pending Motions**

**10:59 a.m. Court in session.**

Court's preliminary remarks.

**ORDERED: Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Defendant's Reply Brief [91], is denied.**

Court states its concerns with the case claims as pleaded and its summary of the case facts.

Argument by Mr. O'Connell.

**Court states it considers Plaintiff's First Claim of Malicious Prosecution and Second Claim of Fabrication of Evidence as pleaded as in the Second Amended Complaint [40] as one claim for relief.**

Argument by Mr. Williams.

Rebuttal argument by Mr. O'Connell.

Defendants' objection to the filing of a third amended complaint by Mr. O'Connell.

**ORDERED: Plaintiff shall clarify his claims by the filing of a third amended complaint by May 21, 2012.**

**Defendants shall respond by motion for summary judgment by June 20, 2012.**

**ORDERED: Ruling on Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment [75] is deferred pending review of plaintiff's third amended complaint.**

**11:55 a.m. Court in recess.**

Hearing concluded. Total time: 56 min.