Fries v. Astrue Doc. 13

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Civil Action No. 10-cv-01207-AP

MITCHELL A. FRIES,

Plaintiff,

VS.

MICHAEL J. ASTRUE, Commissioner of Social Security,

Defendant.

JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR SOCIAL SECURITY CASES

1. APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL

For Plaintiff:

NICHOLAS D. PURIFOY Midland Group/Disability Professionals 5020 Bob Billings Parkway, Suite C Lawrence, KS 66049 Phone: (785) 832-8521; Fax: (785) 832-0006 npurifoy@midlandgroup.com

For Defendant:

JOHN F. WALSH United States Attorney

KEVIN TRASKOS Chief, Civil Division United States Attorney's Office District of Colorado kevin.traskos@usdoj.gov

ANTHONY J. NAVARRO Special Assistant United States Attorney Office of the General Counsel, Social Security Administration 1001 17th Street Denver, CO 80202 Phone: (303) 844-7278; Fax: (303) 844-0770 anthony.navarro@ssa.gov

2. STATEMENT OF LEGAL BASIS FOR SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION

The Court has jurisdiction based on section 205(g) of the Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. § 405(g).

3. DATES OF FILING OF RELEVANT PLEADINGS

- A. Date Complaint Was Filed: May 25, 2010.
- B. Date Complaint Was Served on U.S. Attorney's Office: September 21, 2010.
- C. Date Answer Was Filed: November 22, 2010.

4. STATEMENT REGARDING THE ADEQUACY OF THE RECORD

The record appears to be adequate.

5. STATEMENT REGARDING ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

The parties do not currently intend to submit additional evidence.

6. STATEMENT REGARDING WHETHER THIS CASE RAISES UNUSUAL CLAIMS OR DEFENSES

This case does not appear to present unusual claims or defenses.

7. OTHER MATTERS

None at this time

8. BRIEFING SCHEDULE

- **A. Plaintiff's Opening Brief Due:** January 21, 2011.
- **B. Defendant's Response Brief Due:** February 22, 2011.
- C. Plaintiff's Reply Brief Due (if any): March 9, 2011.

9. STATEMENTS REGARDING ORAL ARGUMENT

A. Plaintiff's Statement:

Plaintiff does not request oral argument

B. Defendant's Statement:

Defendant does not request oral argument.

10. CONSENT TO EXERCISE OF JURISDICTION BY MAGISTRATE JUDGE

- A. (X) All parties have consented to the exercise of jurisdiction of a United States Magistrate Judge.
- B. () All parties have not consented to the exercise of jurisdiction of a United States Magistrate Judge.

11. OTHER MATTERS

The parties filing motions for extension of time or continuances must comply with D.C.Colo.LCivR 7.1(C) by submitting proof that a copy of the motion has been served upon the <u>moving attorney</u>'s <u>client</u>, all attorneys of record, and all pro se parties.

12. AMENDMENTS TO JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN

The parties agree that the Joint Case Management Plan may be altered or amended only upon a showing of good cause.

Dated this 13th day of December, 2010.

	BY THE COURT:
	s/John L. Kane United States District Court Judge
APPROVED:	
For Plaintiff:	For Defendant:
s/ Nicholas D. Purifoy	s/ Anthony J. Navarro
Nicholas D. Purifoy Attorney for Plaintiff	Anthony J. Navarro Special Assistant U.S. Attorney Attorney for Defendant