IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Civil Action No. 10-cv-01628-BNB

FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DENVER, COLOBADO

JOSEPH M. FLOREZ, IV,

AUG - 5 2010

Plaintiff.

GREGORY G. LANGHAM CLERK

٧.

LT. MICK McCORMAC, Intel Investigator, Buena Vista Correctional Facility, CAPT. TRACY COLEMAN, Shift Commander, Buena Vista Correctional Facility, C.O. TIMOTHY EDMONDS, Corrections Officer, Buena Vista Correctional Facility, CAPT. RICHARD FISHER, CO IV, West End Supervisor, Buena Vista Correctional Facility,

MAJOR WILLIAM BRUNELL, Badge #2241, Buena Vista Correctional Facility, and ANTHOY A. DeCESARO, Grievance Officer, Office fo the Step 3 Grievance Officer, C.D.O.C.,

(Individually and in Their Offical Capacities),

Defendants

ORDER DIRECTING PLAINTIFF TO FILE AMENDED COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, Joseph M. Florez, is in the custody of the Colorado Department of Corrections and is currently incarcerated at the Colorado State Penitentiary. He initiated this action by filing a *pro se* Prisoner Complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and 28 U.S.C. § 1343 on July 9, 2010.

The Court must construe the Complaint liberally because Plaintiff is not represented by an attorney. **See Haines v. Kerner**, 404 U.S. 519, 520-21 (1972); **Hall v. Bellmon**, 935 F.2d 1106, 1110 (10th Cir. 1991). If the Complaint reasonably can be read "to state a valid claim on which the plaintiff could prevail, [the Court] should do so

despite the plaintiff's failure to cite proper legal authority, his confusion of various legal theories, his poor syntax and sentence construction, or his unfamiliarity with pleading requirements." *Hall*, 935 F.2d at 1110. However, the Court should not act as an advocate for a *pro se* litigant. *See id.* For the reasons stated below, Mr. Florez will be directed to file an amended complaint.

Mr. Florez asserts three claims. In general, he alleges that Defendants violated many of his constitutional rights when his Bible was confiscated. He seeks injunctive relief in addition to damages.

The Court has reviewed the Complaint filed by Mr. Florez and finds that it does not comply with the pleading requirements of Rule 8 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The twin purposes of a complaint are to give the opposing parties fair notice of the basis for the claims against them so that they may respond and to allow the court to conclude that the allegations, if proven, show that the plaintiff is entitled to relief. **See Monument Builders of Greater Kansas City, Inc. v. American Cemetery Ass'n of Kansas**, 891 F.2d 1473, 1480 (10th Cir. 1989). The requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 8 are designed to meet these purposes. **See TV Communications Network, Inc. v. ESPN, Inc.**, 767 F. Supp. 1062, 1069 (D. Colo. 1991), **aff'd**, 964 F.2d 1022 (10th Cir. 1992). Specifically, Rule 8(a) provides that a complaint "must contain (1) a short and plain statement of the grounds for the court's jurisdiction . . . ; (2) a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief; and (3) a demand for the relief sought" The philosophy of Rule 8(a) is reinforced by Rule 8(d)(1), which provides that "[e]ach allegation must be simple, concise, and direct."

Taken together, Rules 8(a) and (d)(1) underscore the emphasis placed on clarity and brevity by the federal pleading rules. Prolix, vague, or unintelligible pleadings violate the requirements of Rule 8.

Mr. Florez fails to set forth a short and plain statement of his claims showing that he is entitled to relief. Mr. Florez's claims are repetitive and unnecessarily verbose. In the Complaint, he sets forth an extended and unnecessary discussion of often insignificant details and legal argument in support of his claims rather than providing "a generalized statement of the facts from which the defendant may form a responsive pleading." *New Home Appliance Ctr., Inc., v. Thompson*, 250 F.2d 881, 883 (10th Cir. 1957). As a result, the Court finds that the complaint is excessively and unnecessarily long. For the purposes of Rule 8(a), "[i]t is sufficient, and indeed all that is permissible, if the complaint concisely states facts upon which relief can be granted upon any legally sustainable basis." *Id.* Therefore, Mr. Florez will be directed to file an Amended Complaint that complies with the pleading requirements of Rule 8. Mr. Florez is reminded that it is his responsibility to present his claims in a manageable format that allows the Court and Defendants to know what claims are being asserted and to be able to respond to those claims.

Mr. Florez should also take note that he must assert each defendant's personal participation in the alleged constitutional violations. Personal participation is an essential allegation in a civil rights action. **See Bennett v. Passic**, 545 F.2d 1260, 1262-63 (10th Cir. 1976). To establish personal participation, Mr. Florez must show that each defendant caused the deprivation of a federal right. **See Kentucky v. Graham**,

473 U.S. 159, 166 (1985). There must be an affirmative link between the alleged constitutional violation and each defendant's participation, control or direction, or failure to supervise. *See Butler v. City of Norman*, 992 F.2d 1053, 1055 (10th Cir. 1993). A named defendant, such as Shift Commander Tracy Coleman, may not be held liable merely because of his or her supervisory position. *See Pembaur v. City of Cincinnati*, 475 U.S. 469, 479 (1986); *McKee v. Heggy*, 703 F.2d 479, 483 (10th Cir. 1983).

Mr. Florez is instructed that to state a claim in this Court, he must state with specificity what each named defendant did to him, when they did it, how their action harmed him, and what specific legal right they violated. *Nasious v. Two Unknown B.I.C.E. Agents*, 492 F.3d 1158, 1163 (10th Cir. 2007). Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that Plaintiff, Joseph M. Florez, file within thirty (30) days from the date of this order an amended complaint that complies with the directives in this order. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that it shall be titled "Amended Prisoner Complaint," and shall be filed with the Clerk of the Court, United States District Court for the District of Colorado, Alfred A. Arraj United States Courthouse, 901 Nineteenth Street, A105, Denver, Colorado 80294. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that the clerk of the Court mail to Mr. Florez, together with a copy of this order, two copies of the following form to be used in submitting the amended complaint: Prisoner Complaint. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that, if Mr. Florez fails to file an amended complaint that complies with this order within the time allowed, the complaint and the action will be dismissed without further notice.

DATED August 5, 2010, at Denver, Colorado.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Boyd N. Boland
United States Magistrate Judge

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

Civil Action No. 10-cv-01628-BNB

Joseph M. Florez, IV Prisoner No. 129849 Colorado State Penitentiary PO Box 777 Cañon City, CO 81215- 0777

I hereby certify that I have mailed and copy of the ORDER and two copies of the Prisoner Complaint to the above-named individuals on

GREGORYC. LANGHAM, CLERK

Deputy Clerk