IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO
Magistrate Judge Craig B. Shaffer

Civil Action No. 10-cv-02404-REB-CBS

HOMAIDAN AL-TURKI,

Plaintiff,

v.

TRAVIS TRANI, individually, and in his official capacity as former Warden of Limon Correctional Facility,
PAULA FRANTZ, individually, and in her official capacity as Chief Medical Officer for the Colorado Department of Corrections,
JOAN SHOEMAKER, individually, and in her official capacity as Director of Clinical Services for the Colorado Department of Corrections,
DEEANN KAHLER, individually, and in her official capacity as Health Services Administrator for Limon Correctional Facility,
WILLIAM RUSHER, individually, and in his official capacity as Duty Officer for Limon Correctional Facility,
RANDY LIND, individually, and in his official capacity as Custody Control Manager for Limon Correctional Facility,
JOHN STIREWART, individually, and in his official capacity as Shift Commander for Limon Correctional Facility,
RICHARD HOWARD, individually, and in his official capacity as Shift Commander for Limon Correctional Facility,
RAY BOETKER, individually, and in his official capacity as Corrections Officer for Limon Correctional Facility,
FNU JOHNSON, individually, and in his official capacity as Corrections Officer for Limon Correctional Facility,
JOSEPH BALLARD, individually, and in his official capacity as Corrections for Limon Correctional Facility,
RICHARD SUTTCLIFFE, individually, and in his official capacity as Corrections Officer for Limon Correctional Facility,
ERIC KATICA, individually, and in his official capacity as Corrections Officer for Limon Correctional Facility,
WILLIAM NELSON, individually, and in his official capacity as Corrections Officer for Limon Correctional Facility,
DAVID MAGGARD, individually, and in his official capacity as Corrections Officer for Limon Correctional Facility,
HECTOR LOZANO, individually, and in his official capacity as Corrections Officer for Limon Correctional Facility,
BOB EBERLE, individually, and in his official capacity as Corrections Officer for Limon Correction Facility,

WENDY CHATTERTON, individually, and in her official capacity as Corrections Officer for Limon Correctional Facility,
SCOTT BUSHONG, individually, and in his official capacity as Corrections Officer for Limon Correctional Facility,
CLINT FLORY, individually, and in his official capacity as Corrections Officer for Limon Correctional Facility,
MARY SUSAN ROBINSON, RN, individually, and in her official capacity as Nurse for Limon Correctional Facility,
SHERRY JASPER, RN, individually, and in her official capacity as Nurse for Limon Correctional Facility, and
GAILEEN CORWELL, RN, individually, and in her official capacity as Nurse for Limon Correctional Facility,

Defendants.

ORDER SETTING RULE 16(b) SCHEDULING CONFERENCE AND RULE 26(f) PLANNING MEETING

This case has been referred to Magistrate Judge Craig B. Shaffer by District Judge Robert E. Blackburn, pursuant to the Order of Reference filed November 19, 2010. *See* 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1)(A) and (B) and FED.R.CIV.P. 72(a) and (b).

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

(1) The court shall hold a FED.R.CIV.P. 16(b) scheduling and planning conference on

February 3, 2011, at 10:00 a.m. (Mountain Time)

The conference shall be held in Courtroom A-402, Fourth Floor, Alfred A. Arraj U.S. Courthouse, 901 19th Street, Denver, Colorado. If this date is not convenient for any party[1], he or she shall confer with opposing parties and contact the court to reschedule the conference to a more convenient time. **Please remember that anyone seeking entry into the Alfred A. Arraj United States Courthouse will be required to show valid photo identification. See D.C.COLO.LCivR 83.2B.**

---

[1]The term "party" as used in this Order means counsel for any party represented by a lawyer, and any *pro se* party not represented by a lawyer.

A copy of instructions for the preparation of a scheduling order and a form scheduling order can be downloaded from the "Forms" section on the Court's website (http://www.cod.uscourts.gov/Forms.aspx) under the heading "Standardized Order Forms."

The parties shall submit their proposed scheduling order, pursuant to District of Colorado Electronic Case Filing ("ECF") Procedures V.L., on or before:

5:00 p.m. (Mountain Time) on January 27, 2011

The plaintiff shall notify all parties who have not yet entered an appearance of the date and time of the scheduling/planning conference, and shall provide a copy of this Order to those parties.

(2) In preparation for the scheduling/planning conference, the parties are directed to confer in accordance with FED.R.CIV.P. 26(f), on or before:

January 13, 2011

The court strongly encourages the parties to meet face to face, but should that prove impossible, the parties may meet by telephone conference. All parties are jointly responsible for arranging and attending the Rule 26(f) meeting.

During the Rule 26(f) meeting, the parties shall discuss the nature and basis of their claims and defenses and the possibilities for a prompt settlement or resolution of the case, make or arrange for the disclosures required by FED.R.CIV.P. 26(a)(1), and develop their proposed scheduling/discovery plan. The parties should also discuss the possibility of informal discovery, such as conducting joint interviews with potential witnesses, joint meetings with clients, depositions via telephone, or exchanging documents outside of formal discovery.

In those cases in which: (i) the parties' substantive allegations involve extensive computer-generated records; (ii) a substantial amount of disclosure or discovery will involve information or records in electronic form (*i.e.*, e-mail, word processing, databases); (iii) expert witnesses will develop testimony based in large part on computer data and/or modeling; or (iv) any party plans to present a substantial amount of evidence in digital form at trial, the parties shall confer regarding steps they can take to preserve computer records and data, facilitate computer-based discovery and who will pay costs, resolve privilege issues, limit discovery costs and delay, and avoid discovery disputes relating to electronic discovery. The parties shall be prepared to discuss these issues, as appropriate, in the proposed Scheduling Order and at the scheduling and planning conference.

These are the minimum requirements for the Rule 26(f) meeting. The parties are encouraged to have a comprehensive discussion and are required to approach the meeting cooperatively and in good

faith. The parties are reminded that the purpose of the Rule 26(f) meeting is to expedite the disposition of the action, discourage wasteful pretrial activities, and improve the quality of any eventual trial through more thorough preparation. The discussion of claims and defenses shall be a substantive, meaningful discussion.

The parties are reminded that pursuant to FED.R.CIV.P. 26(d), no discovery shall be sought prior to the Rule 26(f) meeting.

(3) The parties shall comply with the mandatory disclosure requirements of FED.R.CIV.P. 26(a)(1) on or before:

January 27, 2011

Counsel and parties are reminded that mandatory disclosure requirements encompass computer-based evidence which may be used to support claims or defenses. Mandatory disclosures must be supplemented by the parties consistent with the requirements of FED.R.CIV.P. 26(e). Mandatory disclosures and supplementation are not to be filed with the Clerk of the Court.

(4) This matter also is referred to Magistrate Judge Shaffer for settlement purposes and with the authority to convene such settlement conferences and direct related procedures as may facilitate resolution of this case. The scheduling and planning conference is not a settlement conference, and no client representative is required to appear. Nonetheless, to facilitate an early evaluation for the possibility of settlement, counsel shall e-mail a ***brief*** (15 pages or less, including any attachments) Confidential Settlement Statement in PDF format to **Shaffer_Chambers@cod.uscourts.gov** on or before:

5:00 p.m. on January 27, 2011

This statement shall briefly outline the facts and issues involved in the case, and the possibilities for settlement, including any settlement authority from the client. Confidential settlements that are over fifteen (15) pages are to be submitted to the court as hard copies and shall be delivered to the office of the Clerk of the Court in an envelope marked "PRIVATE PER MAGISTRATE JUDGE SHAFFER'S ORDERS."

(5) All parties are expected to be familiar with the United States District Court for the District of Colorado Local Rules of Practice (D.C.COLOL.CIVR.). Copies are available from Office of the Clerk, United States District Court for the District of Colorado, or through the District Court's web site: www.cod.uscourts.gov.

All out-of-state counsel shall comply with D.C.COLOL.CIVR. 83.3 prior to the Scheduling/Planning Conference.

DATED at Denver, Colorado, this 19th day of November, 2010.

BY THE COURT:



Craig B. Shaffer
United States Magistrate Judge