

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO  
Magistrate Judge Boyd N. Boland

Civil Action No. 11-cv-00081-LTB-BNB

BRENDA M. LOPEZ,

Plaintiff,

v.

SUNCOR ENERGY (U.S.A.) INC., a Delaware corporation, and  
SUNCOR ENERGY SERVICES INC.,

Defendants.

---

**ORDER**

---

This matter arises on **Defendants' Proposed Revised Protective Order** [Doc. # 52, filed 12/8/2011] (the "Second Motion").

A related issue previously was before me on **Plaintiff's Motion for Blanket Protective Order** [Doc. # 44, filed 11/11/2011] (the "First Motion"). Both parties sought the entry of a blanket protective order, but they could not agree on the proper scope of the material entitled to be designated as Confidential. I held a hearing on the Motion on December 1, 2011. Despite my efforts to assist, the parties still are unable to agree on the scope of material entitled to be designated as Confidential.

Under circumstances like these, where the parties cannot agree even as to basics, I do not anticipate that a blanket protective order can be effectively utilized or that it will facilitate orderly and efficient discovery. See Gillard v. Boulder Valley Schools, 196 F.R.D. 382, 384 (D. Colo. 2000). Consequently, I will not enter one. If necessary, the parties may seek protection by means of particularized protective orders, *id.* at 385, but in doing so they should bear in mind the

mandates of Rules 26(c)(3) and 37(a)(5), Fed. R. Civ. P., concerning the award of attorneys fees and costs.

IT IS ORDERED:

- (1) My previous Order [Doc. # 49, filed 12/1/2011] is VACATED; and
- (2) The Second Motion [Doc. # 52] is DENIED.

Dated January 5, 2012.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Boyd N. Boland  
United States Magistrate Judge