## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

FILED
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DENVER, COLORADO

FEB 2 4 2011

GREGORY C. LANGHAM CLERK

Civil Action No. 11-cv-00361-BNB

LYNN EUGENE SCOTT,

Applicant,

٧.

WARDEN OF THE BUENA VISTA CORRECTIONAL CENTER,

Respondent.

## ORDER TO FILE PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

Applicant, Lynn Eugene Scott, is a prisoner in the custody of the Colorado

Department of Corrections who currently is incarcerated at the Buena Vista, Colorado,
correctional facility. He has filed *pro se* an Application for a Writ of Habeas Corpus

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241. He has been granted leave to proceed pursuant to 28

U.S.C. § 1915.

As part of the preliminary consideration of the Application for a Writ of Habeas
Corpus Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241 in this case and pursuant to *Keck v. Hartley*, 550
F. Supp. 2d 1272 (D. Colo. Apr. 17, 2008), the Court has determined that a limited
Preliminary Response is appropriate. Respondent is directed pursuant to Rule 4 of the
Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts to file a
Preliminary Response limited to addressing the affirmative defenses of timeliness under
28 U.S.C. § 2244(d) and/or exhaustion of state court remedies under *Montez v. McKinna*, 208 F.3d 862, 866 (10th Cir. 2000). If Respondent does not intend to raise

either of these affirmative defenses, Respondent must notify the Court of that decision in the Preliminary Response. Respondent may not file a dispositive motion as a Preliminary Response, or an Answer, or otherwise address the merits of the claims in response to this Order.

In support of the Preliminary Response, Respondent should attach as exhibits all relevant portions of the state court record, including but not limited to copies of all documents demonstrating whether this action is filed in a timely manner and/or whether Applicant has exhausted state court remedies.

Applicant may reply to the Preliminary Response and provide any information that might be relevant to the one-year limitation period under 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d) and/or the exhaustion of state court remedies. Applicant also should include information relevant to equitable tolling, specifically as to whether he has pursued his claims diligently and whether some extraordinary circumstance prevented him from filing a timely 28 U.S.C. § 2241 action in this Court. Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that within twenty-one (21) days from the date of this Order
Respondent shall file a Preliminary Response that complies with this Order. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that within twenty-one (21) days of the filing of the Preliminary Response Applicant may file a Reply, if he desires. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that if Respondent does not intend to raise either of the affirmative defenses of timeliness or exhaustion of state court remedies, Respondent must notify the Court of that decision in the Preliminary Response.

DATED February 24, 2011, at Denver, Colorado.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Boyd N. Boland
United States Magistrate Judge

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

## **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

Civil Action No. 11-cv-00361-BNB

Lynn Eugene Scott Buena Vista Correctional Complex PO Box 2017 Buena Vista, CO 81211

John L Davis, Warden, c/o Keith Nordell Colorado Department of Corrections Office of Legal Affairs DELIVERED ELECTRONICALLY

John Suthers, Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General
DELIVERED ELECTRONICALLY

Paul Sanzo, Asst. Attorney General Office of the Attorney General DELIVERED ELECTRONICALLY COURTESY COPY

I hereby certify that I have mailed a copy of the ORDER to the above-named individuals, and the following forms to Keith Nordell for service of process on John Davis; and to John Suthers: APPLICATION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS FILED 02/10/11 on February 24, 2011.

GREGORY C. LANGHAM, CLERK

Deputy Clerk