Martinez v. Astrue Doc. 9

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Civil Action No. 11-cv-00654-AP

CAROLINE M. MARTINEZ,

Plaintiff,

v.

MICHAEL J. ASTRUE, Commissioner of Social Security,

JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR SOCIAL SECURITY CASES

1. APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL AND PRO SE PARTIES

For Plaintiff: FREDERICK W. NEWALL, ESQ. 730 N. Weber, #101 Colorado Springs, CO 80903 (719) 633-5211 fnewall@qwestoffice.net

For Defendant:
JOHN F. WALSH
United States Attorney

KEVIN TRASKOS Chief, Civil Division United States Attorney's Office District of Colorado

THOMAS S. INMAN
Special Assistant United States Attorney
1001 17th Street
Denver, Colorado 80202
(303) 844-0017
E-mail: tom.inman@ssa.gov

2. STATEMENT OF LEGAL BASIS FOR SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION

The Court has jurisdiction based on section 205(g) of the Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. 405(g).

3. DATES OF FILING OF RELEVANT PLEADINGS

- A. Date Complaint Was Filed: 03/16/2011
- B. Date Complaint Was Served on U.S. Attorney's Office: 03/23/2011
- C. Date Answer and Administrative Record Were Filed: 05/23/2011

4. STATEMENT REGARDING THE ADEQUACY OF THE RECORD

There are no issues with the accuracy or completeness of the administrative record, however, Plaintiff reserves the right to move to supplement the record at filing of opening brief.

5. STATEMENT REGARDING ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

None anticipated.

6. STATEMENT REGARDING WHETHER THIS CASE RAISES UNUSUAL CLAIMS OR DEFENSES

This case does not involve unusually complicated or out-of-the-ordinary claims.

7. OTHER MATTERS

None.

8. BRIEFING SCHEDULE

- A. Plaintiff's Opening Brief Due: 07/25/2011
- **B. Defendant's Response Brief Due:** 08/24/2011
- C. Plaintiff's Reply Brief (If Any) Due: 09/08/2011

9. STATEMENTS REGARDING ORAL ARGUMENT

- **A. Plaintiff's Statement:** Oral argument is not requested.
- **B. Defendant's Statement:** Oral argument is not requested.

10. CONSENT TO EXERCISE OF JURISDICTION BY MAGISTRATE JUDGE

- A. () All parties have consented to the exercise of jurisdiction of a United States Magistrate Judge.
- B. (X) All parties have not consented to the exercise of jurisdiction of a United States Magistrate Judge.

11. OTHER MATTERS

THE PARTIES FILING MOTIONS FOR EXTENSION OF TIME OR CONTINUANCES MUST COMPLY WITH D.C.COLO.LCivR 7.1(C) BY SUBMITTING PROOF THAT A COPY OF THE MOTION HAS BEEN SERVED UPON THE MOVING ATTORNEY'S CLIENT, ALL ATTORNEYS OF RECORD, AND ALL PRO SE PARTIES.

12. AMENDMENTS TO JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN

The parties agree that the Joint Case Management Plan may be altered or amended only upon a showing of good cause.

DATED this 13th day of June, 2011.

BY THE COURT:

s/John L. Kane
U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

APPROVED:

s/ Frederick W. Newall
FREDERICK W. NEWALL, ESQ.
730 N. Weber, #101
Colorado Springs, CO 80903
(719) 633-5211
fnewall@qwestoffice.net

Attorney for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

KEVIN TRASKOS Deputy Chief, Civil Division United States Attorney' Office District of Colorado

s/ Thomas S. Inman THOMAS S. INMAN Special Assistant U.S. Attorney 1001 17th Street Denver, Colorado 80202 Telephone: (303) 844-0014 tom.inman@ssa.gov

Attorneys for Defendant