IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO Honorable R. Brooke Jackson

Civil Action No. 11-cv-01455-RBJ-BNB

MARLIN D. STAKELY,

Plaintiff,

v.

MICHAEL J. ASTRUE, Commissioner of Social Security,

Defendant.

ORDER

This matter is before the Court on the October 30, 2012 Recommendation by Magistrate Judge Boyd N. Boland [docket #15] to affirm Commissioner Michael Astrue's conclusion that the plaintiff, Marlin Stakely, is not disabled under the Social Security Act. The Recommendation is incorporated herein by reference. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B), Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b).

The Recommendation advised the parties that specific written objections were due within fourteen (14) days after being served with a copy of the Recommendation. Doc. #15 at 20 n. 6. No objections to Magistrate Judge Boland's Recommendation were filed by either party. "In the absence of timely objection, the district court may review a magistrate . . . [judge's] report under any standard it deems appropriate." *Summers v. Utah*, 927 F.2d 1165, 1167 (10th Cir. 1991) (citing *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985) (stating that "[i]t does not appear that Congress intended to require district court review of a magistrate's factual or legal conclusions, under a *de novo* or any other standard, when neither party objects to those findings")).

Based on its review of the Recommendation and documents in the file, the Court concludes that the Magistrate Judge's analyses and recommendations are correct, and that "there is no clear error on the face of the record." Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's note. Therefore, the Court ADOPTS the Recommendation of The United States Magistrate Judge as the findings and conclusions of this Court. The Court enters final judgment dismissing this case and all claims therein with prejudice, each party to bear his own costs and fees.

DATED this 21st day of November, 2012.

BY THE COURT:

vb Cashion

R. Brooke Jackson United States District Judge