

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Civil Action No. 11-cv-01877-MSK-MEH

S. JAWN ROSS, and
S. JAWN ROSS, PC,

Plaintiffs,

v.

JULIA YAGER,
RYAN YAGER, and
JOHN AND JANE DOES 1-5,

Defendants.

MINUTE ORDER

Entered by Michael E. Hegarty, United States Magistrate Judge, on August 31, 2012.

Defendants' Motion to Compel Disclosures and Responses to Discovery Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 37 [filed August 30, 2012; docket #59] is **denied without prejudice** for failure to comply with D.C. Colo. LCivR 7.1A. The Court reminds the parties that it "will not consider *any motion*, other than a motion under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 or 56, unless counsel for the moving party or a *pro se* party, before filing the motion, has conferred or made reasonable, good-faith efforts to confer with opposing counsel." D.C. Colo. LCivR 7.1A (emphasis added). It is the responsibility of the moving party to "state in the motion, or in a certificate attached to the motion, the specific efforts to comply with this rule..." *Id.* Defendants' motion contains no such certificate, nor any other indication that counsel for Defendants attempted to confer with counsel for Plaintiffs prior to seeking relief from the Court.