

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

FILED
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DENVER, COLORADO

Civil Action No. 11-cv-02262-BNB

SEP 20 2011

WAYNE SATAR,

Applicant,

GREGORY C. LANGHAM
CLERK

v.

VANCE EVERETT, Warden Kit Carson Facility, and
JOHN WILLIAM SUTHERS, The Attorney General of the State of Colorado,

Respondents.

ORDER TO FILE PRE-ANSWER RESPONSE

As part of the preliminary consideration of the Amended Application for a Writ of Habeas Corpus Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 filed on November 17, 2010, in this action and pursuant to *Denson v. Abbott*, 554 F. Supp. 2d 1206 (D. Colo. 2008), the Court has determined that a limited Pre-Answer Response is appropriate. Respondents are directed pursuant to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts to file a Pre-Answer Response limited to addressing the affirmative defenses of timeliness under 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d) and/or exhaustion of state court remedies under 28 U.S.C. § 2254(b)(1)(A). If Respondents do not intend to raise either of these affirmative defenses, they must notify the Court of that decision in the Pre-Answer Response. Respondents may not file a dispositive motion as their Pre-Answer Response, or an Answer, or otherwise address the merits of the claims in response to this Order.

In support of the Pre-Answer Response, Respondents should attach as exhibits all relevant portions of the state court record, including but not limited to copies of all

documents demonstrating whether this action is filed in a timely manner and/or whether Applicant has exhausted state court remedies.

Applicant may reply to the Pre-Answer Response and provide any information that might be relevant to the one-year limitation period under 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d) and/or the exhaustion of state court remedies. Applicant also should include information relevant to equitable tolling, specifically as to whether he has pursued his claims diligently and whether some extraordinary circumstance prevented him from filing a timely 28 U.S.C. § 2254 action in this Court. Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that **within twenty-one (21) days from the date of this Order** Respondents shall file a Pre-Answer Response that complies with this Order. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that **within twenty-one (21) days of the filing of the Pre-Answer Response** Applicant may file a Reply, if he desires. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that if Respondents do not intend to raise either of the affirmative defenses of timeliness or exhaustion of state court remedies, they must notify the Court of that decision in the Pre-Answer Response.

DATED September 20, 2011, at Denver, Colorado.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Boyd N. Boland
United States Magistrate Judge

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

Civil Action No. 11-cv-02262-BNB

Wayne Satar
Prisoner No. 111818
Kit Carson Correctional Center
PO Box 2000
Burlington, CO 80807

Vance Everett - **CERTIFIED**
Kit Carson Correctional Center
PO Box 2000
Burlington, CO 80807


John Suthers, Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General
DELIVERED ELECTRONICALLY

James Quinn, Asst. Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General
DELIVERED ELECTRONICALLY
COURTESY COPY

I hereby certify that I have mailed a copy of the ORDER to the above-named individuals, and the following forms to Vance Everett: APPLICATION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS FILED 8/29/11 on September 20, 2011.

GREGORY C. LANGHAM, CLERK

By: _____


Deputy Clerk

U.S. Postal Service TM
CERTIFIED MAIL TM RECEIPT
(Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance Coverage Provided)

For delivery information visit our website at www.usps.com

OFFICIAL USE

7007 1490 0001 4683 3249

Postage	\$
Certified Fee	
Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required)	
Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)	
Total Postage and Fees	\$

Postmark
Here
11-LV-2262

Sent To
Vance Everett
Street, or PO Box
Kit Carson Correctional Center
City, State
PO Box 2000
Burlington, CO 80807