

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO
Judge Robert E. Blackburn**

Civil Case No. 11-cv-02697-REB-KMT

THERESA L. DOWLING,

Plaintiff,

v.

MOUNTAIN STATES LINE CONSTRUCTORS JOINT APPRENTICESHIP AND
TRAINING COMMITTEE,

Defendant.

AMENDED ORDER¹

Blackburn, J.

The matter before me is plaintiff's **Unopposed Motion To Reconsider Order of Magistrate Judges [sic] Decision to District Court Judge [#73]²** filed Jun 18, 2012. I deny the motion.³

Plaintiff's motion pertains to non-dispositive matters that were referred to the magistrate judge for resolution. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(a), I may modify or set aside any portion of a magistrate judge's order which I find to be clearly erroneous or contrary to law.

Plaintiff is proceeding *pro se*. Thus, I have reviewed her filings more liberally than

¹ This order is amended to correct a clerical error in the previously entered **Order** [#76] filed June 20, 2012. Footnote 3 of that order has been deleted. No further changes, and no substantive changes, are worked by the entry of this amendment.

² "[#73]" is an example of the convention I use to identify the docket number assigned to a specific paper by the court's electronic case filing and management system (CM/ECF). I use this convention throughout this order.

³ Although plaintiff styles her motion as "unopposed," there is nothing therein to suggest that she sought or obtained defendant's agreement to the relief requested therein. Regardless, I exercise my discretion pursuant to D.C.COLO.LCivR 7.1C. to rule on the motion without awaiting a response.


pleadings or papers filed by attorneys. **See, e.g., *Erickson v. Pardus***, 551 U.S. 89, 94, 127 S.Ct. 2197, 2200, 167 L.Ed.2d 1081 (2007); ***Haines v. Kerner***, 404 U.S. 519, 520-21, 92 S.Ct. 594, 596, 30 L.Ed.2d 652 (1972); ***Andrews v. Heaton***, 483 F.3d 1070, 1076 (10th Cir. 2007); ***Hall v. Bellmon***, 935 F.2d 1106, 1110 (10th Cir. 1991).

I have reviewed the magistrate judge's order and the apposite motion. I conclude that the magistrate judge's order is not clearly erroneous or contrary to law.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that plaintiff's **Unopposed Motion To Reconsider Order of Magistrate Judges [sic] Decision to District Court Judge [#73]** filed Jun 18, 2012, is **DENIED**.

Dated June 27, 2012, at Denver, Colorado.

BY THE COURT:


Robert E. Blackburn
United States District Judge