

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Civil Action No. 11-cv-02902-BNB

MARVIN LEE TAYLOR,

Plaintiff,

v.

ARISTEDES W. ZAVARAS,  
SUSAN S. JONES,  
MARSHALL GRIFFITH,  
TINA VALDEZ,  
M. N. McCORMICK, and  
SOLANO (MS),

Defendants.

---

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

---

Plaintiff, Marvin Lee Taylor, initiated this action by filing *pro se* a Prisoner Complaint. On January 6, 2012, Magistrate Judge Boyd N. Boland entered an order directing Mr. Taylor to file an amended complaint that complies with the pleading requirements of Rule 8 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Mr. Taylor was warned that the action would be dismissed without further notice if he failed to file an amended complaint within thirty days.

Mr. Taylor has failed to file an amended complaint within the time allowed and he has failed to respond in any way to Magistrate Judge Boland's January 6 order. Therefore, the action will be dismissed without prejudice for failure to comply with a court order.

Furthermore, the Court certifies pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) that any

appeal from this order would not be taken in good faith and therefore *in forma pauperis* status will be denied for the purpose of appeal. See *Coppedge v. United States*, 369 U.S. 438 (1962). If Plaintiff files a notice of appeal he also must pay the full \$455 appellate filing fee or file a motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* in the United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit within thirty days in accordance with Fed. R. App.

P. 24. Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the complaint and the action are dismissed without prejudice pursuant to Rule 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure because Mr. Taylor failed to comply with a court order. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* on appeal is denied.

DATED at Denver, Colorado, this 15<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2012.

BY THE COURT:

s/Lewis T. Babcock  
LEWIS T. BABCOCK, Senior Judge  
United States District Court