

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO  
Judge William J. Martínez**

Civil Action No. 12-cv-0485-WJM-KMT

JACOB RICHARDSON

Plaintiff,

v.

CITIGROUP, INC.,

Defendant.

---

**ORDER ADOPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S RECOMMENDATION,  
GRANTING DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO COMPEL ARBITRATION AND  
ADMINISTRATIVELY CLOSING CASE**

---

This matter is before the Court on the July 25, 2012 Recommendation by United States Magistrate Judge Kathleen M. Tafoya that Defendant's Motion to Compel Arbitration and Stay Proceedings (ECF No. 18) be granted. (ECF No. 26.) The Recommendation is incorporated herein by reference. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B), Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b).

The Recommendation advised the parties that specific written objections were due within fourteen days after being served with a copy of the Recommendation. (ECF No. 26 at 9-10.) Despite this advisement, no objections to the Magistrate Judge's Recommendation have been filed. "In the absence of timely objection, the district court may review a magistrate . . . [judge's] report under any standard it deems appropriate." *Summers v. Utah*, 927 F.2d 1165, 1167 (10th Cir. 1991) (citing *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985) (stating that "[i]t does not appear that Congress intended to

require district court review of a magistrate's factual or legal conclusions, under a *de novo* or any other standard, when neither party objects to those findings”).

The Court concludes that the Magistrate Judge’s thorough and comprehensive analyses and recommendations are correct and that “there is no clear error on the face of the record.” See Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b) advisory committee’s note. Therefore, the Court hereby ADOPTS the Report of the United States Magistrate Judge as the findings and conclusions of this Court.

Accordingly, the Court hereby ORDERS as follows:

1. The Magistrate Judge’s Recommendation (ECF No. 26) is ACCEPTED;
2. Defendant’s Motion to Compel Arbitration (ECF No. 18) is GRANTED; and
3. This case is ADMINISTRATIVELY CLOSED pursuant to D.C.COLO.LCivR 41.2.

Either party may move to reopen this case at the conclusion of the Arbitration for good cause shown.

Dated this 21<sup>st</sup> day of August, 2012.

BY THE COURT:



---

William J. Martínez  
United States District Judge