IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO Judge Robert E. Blackburn

Civil Case No. 12-cv-00561-REB-MEH

BEVERLY KENNEDY, an individual resident of the State of Colorado, and KENNEDY FARMS, LLC, a Colorado limited liability company,

Plaintiffs,

۷.

MICHAEL McCORMICK, an individual resident of the State of Texas doing business as MTM Farm, ROBERT BARBER, D.V.M., an individual resident of the State of Florida doing business as Laurel Equine Center, and LAWRENCE WEXLER, D.V.M., an individual resident of the State of Florida,

Defendants.

ORDER DENYING AS MOOT MOTIONS TO DISMISS

Blackburn, J.

The matters before me are (1) Defendants', Robert Barber, D.V.M. and

Lawrence Wexler, D.V.M., Motion To Dismiss Pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 12(b)(2)

[#17],¹ filed April 18, 2012; and (2) **Defendant Michael J. McCormick's Motion To**

Dismiss and Supporting Memorandum of Law [#18], filed April 19, 2012. After the

motions were filed and briefed, plaintiffs submitted their Unopposed Motion To Amend

Complaint and Jury Demand [#46], filed October 9, 2012. The magistrate judge

granted that motion (see Minute Order [#48], filed October 9, 2012), and the Amended

Complaint and Jury Demand [#49] was filed that same day.

¹ "[#17]" is an example of the convention I use to identify the docket number assigned to a specific paper by the court's electronic case filing and management system (CM/ECF). I use this convention throughout this order.

The filing of an amended complaint moots a motion to dismiss directed at the superceded complaint. *See Griggs v. Jornayvaz*, 2009 WL 1464408 at *1 (D. Colo. May 22, 2009); *United States ex rel. Babb v. Northrop Grumman Corp.*, 2007 WL 1793795 at *1 (D. Colo. June 19, 2007). Moreover, defendants now have filed motions to dismiss the amended complaint (*see* Defendants', Robert Barber, D.V.M. and Lawrence Wexler, D.V.M., Motion To Dismiss Amended Complaint Pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 12(b)(2) [#50], filed October 23, 2012; Defendant Michael J. McCormick's Motion To Dismiss Amended Complaint [#51], filed October 23, 2012), and informed the court that they believe the prior motions to be moot. I therefore will deny those prior motions without prejudice on that basis.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED as follows:

1. That **Defendants', Robert Barber, D.V.M. and Lawrence Wexler, D.V.M., Motion To Dismiss Pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 12(b)(2)** [#17], filed April 18, 2012, is **DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE** as moot; and

 That Defendant Michael J. McCormick's Motion To Dismiss and Supporting Memorandum of Law [#18], filed April 19, 2012, is DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE as moot.

Dated October 26, 2012, at Denver, Colorado.

BY THE COURT:

Robert E. Blackbum United States District Judge