## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO Senior Judge Wiley Y. Daniel

Civil Action No. 12-cv-00624-WYD-CBS

WAYNE GLASSER,

Plaintiff,

٧.

CAROLE KING, RN, MICHAEL WALSH, PA, and LT. JAMES HARDING.

Defendants.

## ORDER

THIS MATTER is before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion to Amend an Order [ECF No. 289], filed July 31, 2014. In this Motion, *pro se* Plaintiff requests reconsideration of the Court's Order [ECF No. 287] striking Plaintiff's response to CDOC Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment [ECF No. 285]. Plaintiff's response was stricken for failing to comply with my PRACTICE STANDARDS. Defendants filed a response to Plaintiff's Motion to Amend an Order [ECF No. 290] on August 6, 2014.

Plaintiff seeks the Court's approval to allow his thirty-six (36) page Striken

Response to stand as submitted. In the alternative, he requests a 60 day extension for resubmission in order to comply with my PRACTICE STANDARDS and excusal from resubmitting the exhibits filed with his Striken Response [ECF No. 285]. Defendants oppose Plaintiff's request to allow Plaintiff's Striken Response to stand as submitted.

Defendants do not oppose Plaintiff's request for a 60 day extension or Plaintiff's request regarding the previously filed exhibits. For clarity purposes, the Court's Order [ECF No.

287] indicated that the deadline for resubmission was August 14 of <u>2015</u>. However, this was a typographical error and is more appropriately August 14 of <u>2014</u>.

In regards to the Plaintiff's request to allow his Striken Response to stand as submitted, "[e]xceptions to the . . . [Court's] page limitations will be made only in extraordinary circumstances where the Court decides that the complexity and numerosity of issues compel briefs of greater length." Senior Judge Wiley Y. Daniel's PRACTICE STANDARDS, § II(E)(2). "A motion requesting such permission must include sufficient detail to allow the Court to discern the necessity of additional pages." PRACTICE STANDARDS, § II(E)(2). Based on Plaintiff's justification for his Striken Response to stand as submitted, the Court has determined that a thirty-six (36) page brief is not warranted. Plaintiff will, therefore, need to resubmit a response that complies with my PRACTICE STANDARDS. As such, it is

ORDERED that the Motion [ECF No. 289] is **GRANTED IN PART** and **DENIED IN PART**. The Motion is **GRANTED** to the extent that Plaintiff is allowed a 60 day extension to resubmit a responsive brief to CDOC Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment [ECF No. 280] **on or before October 13, 2014**. **NO FURTHER** extensions will be considered. The Plaintiff's response **SHALL COMPLY** with my PRACTICE STANDARDS, to include § II(E)(1) regarding page limitations. In addition, Plaintiff is **EXCUSED** from resubmitting the exhibits filed with his Striken Response [ECF No. 285]. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that the Motion is **DENIED** as to Plaintiff's request for his Striken Response to stand as submitted. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall send a copy of my

PRACTICE STANDARDS to Plaintiff and that all subsequent motions shall be referred to Magistrate Judge Shaffer in accordance with my prior Order of Reference.

Dated: August 12, 2014.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Wiley Y. Daniel

Wiley Y. Daniel Senior United States District Judge