

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Civil Action No. 12-cv-00708-REB-MEH

ANTHONY D. LOCKE,

Plaintiff,

v.

FEDEX FREIGHT, INC.,
CARL PETERMAN,
JEFF W. STAMM,
BILL LOFTUS,
BOB MORELAND,
TONY TALIERCIO, and
JON S. JONES,

Defendants.

MINUTE ORDER

Entered by Michael E. Hegarty, United States Magistrate Judge, on January 15, 2013.

Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to Serve/Re-Serve Defendants Peterman, Stam, Loftus, Moreland, Taliercio and Jones [[filed January 11, 2013; docket #45](#)] is **denied without prejudice** for failure to comply with D.C. Colo. LCivR 7.1A, which states,

The court will not consider *any motion*, other than a motion under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 or 56, unless counsel for the moving party or a *pro se* party, before filing the motion, has conferred or made reasonable, good-faith efforts to confer with opposing counsel or a *pro se* party to resolve the disputed matter. The moving party shall state in the motion, or in a certificate attached to the motion, the specific efforts to comply with this rule.

(emphasis added). The Court reminds the parties of their continuing obligations to comply fully with D.C. Colo. LCivR 7.1A. *See Hoelzel v. First Select Corp.*, 214 F.R.D. 634, 636 (D. Colo. 2003) (because Rule 7.1A requires meaningful negotiations by the parties, the rule is not satisfied by one party sending the other party a single email, letter or voicemail).