Gillespie v. Astrue Doc. 9

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Civil Action No. 12-cv-00744-AP

JAMESON M. GILLESPIE,

Plaintiff,

v.

MICHAEL J. ASTRUE, Commissioner of Social Security,

Defendant.

### Joint Case Management Plan

### 1. APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL

For Plaintiff: For Defendant:

Henry J. Feldman 2001 York St Denver, CO 80205 (303) 321-9089

hfeldman@juno.com

Daniel E. Burrows Special Assistant U.S. Attorney Office of the General Counsel Social Security Administration 1001 17th St

Denver, CO 80202 (303) 844-7356

daniel.burrows@ssa.gov

# 2. STATEMENT OF LEGAL BASIS FOR SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION

This Court has jurisdiction based on Social Security Act §§ 205(g), 1631(c)(3), 42

U.S.C. §§ 405(g), 1383(c)(3) (2006).

### 3. DATES OF FILING RELEVANT PLEADINGS

- A. <u>Date Complaint Was Filed</u>: March 24, 2012
- B. <u>Date Complaint Was Served on U.S. Attorney's Office</u>: March 29, 2012
- C. <u>Date Answer and Administrative Record Were Filed</u>: May 29, 2012

# 4. STATEMENT REGARDING THE ADEQUACY OF THE RECORD

To the best of their knowledge, the parties believe the administrative record is complete and accurate.

#### 5. STATEMENT REGARDING ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

Neither party intends to submit additional evidence.

# 6. STATEMENT REGARDING WHETHER THIS CASE RAISES UNUSUAL CLAIMS OR DEFENSES

The parties do not believe this case raises any unusual claims or defenses.

#### 7. OTHER MATTERS

This case is *not* on appeal from a decision issued on remand. The parties have no other matters to bring to the attention of the Court.

#### 8. BRIEFING SCHEDULE

- A. <u>Plaintiff's Opening Brief Due</u>: July 23, 2012
- B. <u>Defendant's Response Brief Due</u>: August 22, 2012
- C. <u>Plaintiff's Reply Brief (If Any) Due</u>: September 6, 2012

#### 9. STATEMENTS REGARDING ORAL ARGUMENT

- A. <u>Plaintiff's Statement</u>: Plaintiff requests oral argument because he believes it would be helpful to clarify the issues presented in this case.
- B. <u>Defendant's Statement</u>: Defendant requests oral argument. Defendant anticipates that Plaintiff will make an argument directly challenging the vocational expert's testimony in this case. While this is not a thoroughly unique argument, it is sufficiently outside the course of usual litigation under the Social Security Act that the Commissioner believes oral argument would be helpful for illuminating and clarifying the parties' positions.

# 10. CONSENT TO EXERCISE OF JURISDICTION BY MAGISTRATE JUDGE

The parties do not consent to the exercise of jurisdiction by a magistrate judge.

### 11. AMENDMENTS TO JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN

Parties filing motions for extensions of time or continuances must comply with D.C.COLO.LCivR 7.1(E) by submitting proof that a copy of the motion has been served upon the *moving attorney's client*, all attorneys of record, and all pro se parties.

The parties agree that the joint case management plan may be altered or amended only upon a showing of *good cause*.

DATED this 20th day of June, 2012

BY THE COURT:

s/John L. Kane

U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

APPROVED:

JOHN F. WALSH United States Attorney

<u>s/ Daniel E. Burrows FOR</u>
HENRY J. FELDMAN
WILLIAM G. PHARO
Assistant United States Attorney

2001 York St Denver, CO 80205 (303) 321-9089

hfeldman@juno.com

Attorney for Plaintiff

(SIGNED PER ELECTRONIC AUTHORIZATION)

s/ Daniel E. Burrows

DANIEL E. BURROWS Special Assistant U.S. Attorney Social Security Administration Office of the General Counsel

1001 17th St

Denver, CO 80202 (303) 844-7356

daniel.burrows@ssa.gov

Attorneys for Defendant

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on June 15, 2012, I electronically filed the foregoing Joint Case Management Plan with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the following:

Henry J. Feldman hfeldman@juno.com

William George Pharo william.pharo@usdoj.gov

s/ Daniel E. Burrows

Office of the General Counsel Social Security Administration