Gomez, v. Astrue, Doc. 15

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Civil Action No.: 12-cv-01012-AP

ANTHONY GOMEZ,

Plaintiff

v.

MICHAEL J. ASTRUE,

Commissioner of Social Security,

Defendant

JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR SOCIAL SECURITY CASES

1. APPEARANCE OF COUNSEL AND PRO SE PARTIES

For Plaintiff:

Mark D. Elliot

JOHN F. WALSH

Alonit Cohen United Stated Attorney 7884 Ralston Road

Arvada, CO 80002 WILLIAM G. PHARO

Telephone: (303) 424-5319

Assistant United States Attorney

M. Thayne Warner

Special Assistant United States Attorney

Assistant Regional Council Office of the General Counsel Social Security Administration

1001 Seventeenth Street Denver, CO 80202

Telephone: (303) 844-7237

2. STATEMENT OF LEGAL BASIS FOR SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION

The Court has jurisdiction based on section 205(g) of the Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. 405(g).

3. DATES OF FILING RELEVANT PLEADINGS

A. Date Complaint Was Filed: April 16, 2012

B. Date Complaint Was Served on U.S. Attorney's Office: April 27, 2012

C. Date Answer and Administrative Record Were Filed: June 26, 2012

4. STATEMENT REGARDING THE ADEQUACY OF THE RECORD

Counsel for both Plaintiff and Defendant state that, to the best of their knowledge, the record is complete and accurate.

5. STATEMENT REGARDING ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

The parties, to the best of their knowledge, state that the administrative record is complete and accurate. However, the Plaintiff reserves the right to supplement the record if necessary at the time of the Opening Brief.

6. STATEMENT REGARDING WHETHER THIS CASE RAISES UNUSUAL CLAIMS OR DEFENSES.

The parties state that, to the best of their knowledge, this case does not involve any unusual claims or defenses.

7. OTHER MATTERS

There are no other matters anticipated.

8. BRIEFING SCHEDULE

A. Plaintiff's Opening Brief Due: August 27, 2012

B. Defendant's Response Brief Due: September 26, 2012

C. Plaintiff's Reply Brief (If Any) Due: October 11, 2012

9. STATEMENTS REGARDING ORAL ARGUMENT

Plaintiff requests oral argument.

Defendant does not request oral argument.

10. CONSENT TO EXERCISE OF JURISDICTION BY MAGISTRATE JUDGE

- **A.** () All parties have consented to the exercise of jurisdiction of a United States Magistrate Judge.
- **B.** (**X**) All parties have not consented to the exercise of jurisdiction of a United States Magistrate Judge

11. OTHER MATTERS

THE PARTIES FILING MOTIONS FOR EXTENSION OF TIME OR CONTINUANCES MUST COMPLY WITH D.C. COLO. LCiv 7.1(C) BY SUBMITTING PROOF THAT A COPY OF THE MOTION HAS BEEN SERVED UPON THE MOVING ATTORNEY'S CLIENT, ALL ATTORNEYS OF RECORD, AND ALL PRO SE PARTIES.

12. AMENDMENTS TO JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN

None.

DATED this 10th day of July, 2012.

BY THE COURT:

_*S/John L. Kane* U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

APPROVED:

/s/Alonit Cohen

Alonit Cohen 7884 Ralston Road Arvada, CO 80002 Telephone (303) 424-5319 alonit@elliottlawoffices.com Attorney for Plaintiff /s/ M. Thayne Warner

M. Thayne Warner Special Assistant U.S. Attorney 1001 Seventeenth Street Denver, CO 80202 Telephone: (303) 844-7237 thayne.warner@ssa.gov Attorney for Defendant