

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Civil Action No. 12-cv-01033-BNB

(The above civil action number must appear on all future papers sent to the court in this action. Failure to include this number may result in a delay in the consideration of your claims.)

LESTER L. WASHINGTON, Bth, MA, M.E.D., LCGC,

Plaintiff,

v.

CSUFT COLLINS,
CSUBOG,
CSU FC,
HDFS, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF LEAVE TO PROCEED
PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1915

Plaintiff has filed *pro se* a Title VII Compliant (ECF No.1), a Motion and Affidavit for Leave to Proceed Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915 (ECF No. 2), a “Motion to Refile of USDC of Colorado Case 10CV1888 . . .” (ECF No. 3), and a “Motion to File the Rough Draft of the History and Representation of Some 5 - 8000 Pages of Available Exhibits, Facts, and Past Complaints . . .” (ECF No.4). Plaintiff will be granted leave to proceed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915 solely on the basis of inability to prepay fees or give security therefor. The “Motion to Refile of USDC of Colorado Case 10CV1888 . . .” will be denied as unnecessary and the “Motion to File the Rough Draft of the History and Representation of Some 5 - 8000 Pages of Available Exhibits, Facts, and Past Complaints . . .” will be addressed in a future order. Accordingly it is

ORDERED that the Motion and Affidavit for Leave to Proceed Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915 (ECF No. 2) is GRANTED. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that the “Motion to Refile of USDC of Colorado Case 10CV1888 . . .” (ECF No. 3) is DENIED as unnecessary. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that the court review the complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B) to determine if the complaint is frivolous or malicious, fails to state a claim on which relief may be granted, or seeks monetary relief against a defendant who is immune from such relief. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that process shall not issue at this time.

DATED April 19, 2012, at Denver, Colorado.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Boyd N. Boland
United States Magistrate Judge