

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Civil Action No. 12-cv-01076-PAB-CBS

ERIC ADAMS,  
Plaintiff,  
v.OFFICER FOCHEE, and  
OFFICER A. ESPINOSA,  
Defendants.

---

ORDER

---

Magistrate Judge Craig B. Shaffer

This civil action comes before the court on “Plaintiff[‘s] Response to Defendants[‘] Reply in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment,” filed by Mr. Adams on March 4, 2013. (See Doc. # 53). Pursuant to the Order Referring Case dated September 13, 2012 (Doc. # 15) and the memoranda dated November 5, 2012 (Doc. # 24), November 20, 2012 (Doc. # 29), and January 30, 2013 (Doc. # 48), all pending motions have been referred to the Magistrate Judge.

Mr. Adams’s 18-page submission constitutes a surreply to Defendants’ Reply to their Motion for Summary Judgment. (See Docs. # 47, # 52). First, the Local Rules of Practice of the United States District Court for the District of Colorado do not provide for the filing of surreplies. See D.C. COLO. LCivR 7.1C. Second, Mr. Adams has already submitted a Motion for Summary Judgment and two Responses to Defendants’ Motion for Summary Judgment. (See Docs. # 23, # 50, # 51). The court is not required to accept any further briefing on the pending Motions for Summary Judgment. The Motions are fully briefed and the court will issue a Recommendation in due course.

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that “Plaintiff Response to Defendants[‘] Reply in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment” filed by Mr. Adams on March 4, 2013 (Doc. # 53) is STRICKEN. Briefing on the pending Motions for Summary Judgment (Docs. # 23 and # 47) is CLOSED. The court will not accept and may strike any further briefs on these Motions.

DATED at Denver, Colorado, this 4th day of March, 2013.

BY THE COURT:

s/Craig B. Shaffer  
United States Magistrate Judge