## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO Magistrate Judge Boyd N. Boland

Civil Action No. 12-cv-01429-WYD-BNB

IN THE MATTER OF

Establishment Inspection of

Colorado Forge 107 Trinity Lane Pagosa Springs, Colorado 81147

## RECOMMENDATION OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE AND ORDER

This matter arises on the Secretary of Labor's **Status Report** [Doc. # 15, filed 9/10/2012]. The Secretary reports that the commands of the Inspection Warrant have been fulfilled and no violation has been identified. The Secretary requests that my Order to Show Cause [Doc. # 9] be discharged and that this action be closed.

IT IS ORDERED that the Order to Show Cause [Doc. #9] is DISCHARGED.

In addition, I respectfully RECOMMEND that this action be administratively closed pursuant to D.C.COLO.LCivR 41.2.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b), the parties have 14 days after service of this recommendation to serve and file specific, written objections. A party's failure to serve and file specific, written objections waives *de novo* review of the recommendation by the district judge, Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b); Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 147-48 (1985), and also waives appellate review of both factual and legal questions. Makin v. Colorado Dept. of Corrections, 183 F.3d 1205, 1210 (10th Cir. 1999); Talley v. Hesse, 91 F.3d 1411, 1412-13 (10th Cir. 1996). A party's objections to this recommendation must be both timely and specific to preserve an issue for *de novo* review by the district court or for appellate review. United States v. One Parcel of Real Property, 73 F.3d 1057, 1060 (10th Cir. 1996).

Dated September 11	١.	20	П	2
--------------------	----	----	---	---

BY	THE	COURT:	•

s/ Boyd N. Boland
United States Magistrate Judge