

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF COLORADO**

Case Number: 12-cv-01759 CMA-CBS

LISA FRANCIS, an individual, and HEIDI
BECK, an individual,

Plaintiffs,

v.

INTEGRA TELECOM HOLDINGS, INC.,
an Oregon Corporation,

Defendant.

~~**JOINT STIPULATED MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER**~~

Plaintiffs Lisa Francis and Heidi Beck (“Plaintiffs”) and Defendant Integra Telecom Holdings, Inc. (“Defendant”) (together, the “Parties”) have stipulated to the terms of this Protective Order.

This Protective Order is designed to preserve the confidentiality of information contained in documents produced pursuant to the terms of this Protective Order and certain testimony given by witnesses in this case. Documents and testimony and other information deemed to be “CONFIDENTIAL” as set forth below shall be so designated in accordance with this Protective Order.

To expedite the flow of discovery material, facilitate the prompt resolution of disputes over confidentiality, and adequately protect material entitled to be kept confidential, it is, by agreement of the Parties and pursuant to the Court’s authority under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, STIPULATED and ORDERED that:

1. This Protective Order shall apply to all documents, materials, and information, including, without limitation, documents produced, answers to interrogatories, responses to requests for admission, deposition testimony, and other information disclosed or produced pursuant to the disclosure or discovery duties created by Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

2. As used in this Protective Order, “document” is defined as provided in Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 34(a). A draft or non-identical copy is a separate document within the meaning of this term.

3. Information designated “CONFIDENTIAL” shall be information that is confidential and implicates the privacy interests of Plaintiffs, Defendant, or third parties to this litigation and/or information contained in confidential business records, personnel records, documents, materials and communications. CONFIDENTIAL information shall not be disclosed or used for any purpose except in the preparation and trial of this case.

4. CONFIDENTIAL documents, materials, testimony and/or information (collectively “CONFIDENTIAL information”) shall not, without the consent of the party producing it or further Order of the Court, be disclosed except that such information may be disclosed to:

- a. attorneys who are actively working on this case;
- b. persons regularly employed or associated with the attorneys actively working on the case whose assistance is required by said attorneys in the preparation for trial, at trial, or other proceedings;
- c. the Parties, including their agents, lawyers, and legal professionals;
- d. expert witnesses and consultants retained in connection with this proceeding, to the extent such disclosure is necessary for preparation, trial or other proceedings;

- e. the Court in this case and its employees (“Court Personnel”);
- f. stenographic reporters and videographers who are engaged in proceedings necessarily incident to the conduct of this case;
- g. deponents; and
- h. other persons by written agreement of the Parties.

5. Prior to disclosing any CONFIDENTIAL information to any person listed above (other than counsel, persons employed by counsel, lawyers and legal professionals employed by the Parties, Court Personnel, videographers and stenographic reporters), the Parties shall provide such person with a copy of this Protective Order and obtain from such person a written acknowledgment stating that he or she has read this Protective Order and agrees to be bound by its provisions. Such written acknowledgment shall be in the form as provided in Exhibit A to this Stipulated Protective Order, attached hereto and incorporated herein. All such acknowledgments shall be retained by counsel and shall be subject to in camera review by the Court if good cause for review is demonstrated by opposing counsel.

6. Documents are designated as CONFIDENTIAL by placing or affixing on them (in a manner that will not interfere with their legibility) the term “CONFIDENTIAL,” or by designating them as “CONFIDENTIAL” in correspondence from counsel to the party producing documents. Defendant may designate documents produced by Plaintiff as CONFIDENTIAL if they are documents that were originally produced or created as records of Defendant.

7. Whenever a deposition involves the disclosure of CONFIDENTIAL information, the deposition or portions thereof shall be designated as CONFIDENTIAL and shall be subject to the provisions of this Protective Order. Such designation shall be made on the record during the deposition whenever possible, but a party may designate portions of depositions as

CONFIDENTIAL after transcription, provided written notice of the designation is promptly given to all counsel of record within thirty (30) days after notice by the stenographic reporter of the completion of the transcript.

8. A party may object to the designation of particular CONFIDENTIAL information by giving written notice to the party designating the disputed information within ten (10) business days after being notified of the designation. The written notice shall identify the information to which the objection is made and the specific basis for such objection. If the parties cannot resolve the objection within ten (10) business days after the time the notice is received, it shall be the obligation of the party designating the information as CONFIDENTIAL to file an appropriate motion requesting that the Court determine whether the disputed information should be subject to the terms of this Protective Order. If such a motion is timely filed, the disputed information shall be treated as CONFIDENTIAL under the terms of this Protective Order until the Court rules on the motion. If the designating party fails to file such a motion within the prescribed time, the disputed information shall lose its designation as CONFIDENTIAL and shall not thereafter continue to be treated as CONFIDENTIAL in accordance with this Protective Order. In connection with a motion filed under this provision, the party designating the information as CONFIDENTIAL shall bear the burden of establishing that good cause exists for the disputed information to be treated as CONFIDENTIAL.

9. Any pleadings, motions or other documents, or portions thereof, containing CONFIDENTIAL information that are filed with the Court, as well as any exhibits containing CONFIDENTIAL information that are attached to any pleadings, motions or other documents that are filed with the Court, shall be labeled "CONFIDENTIAL" and filed under seal in accordance with D.C.COLO.LCivR 7.2.

10. At the conclusion of this case, unless other arrangements are agreed upon, each document and all copies thereof which have been designated as CONFIDENTIAL shall be returned to the party that designated it CONFIDENTIAL within forty-five (45) days of conclusion of the action, or the parties may elect to destroy CONFIDENTIAL documents; provided, however, that counsel for each party may retain one copy of the CONFIDENTIAL documents for the sole purpose of maintaining a complete file, and all such retained documents will not be released, disclosed, or utilized except upon express permission of this Court after written notice to counsel for the party that produced the documents. Where the Parties agree to destroy CONFIDENTIAL documents, the destroying party shall provide all Parties with an affidavit confirming the destruction within forty-five (45) days after conclusion of the action.

11. This Protective Order may be modified by the Court at any time for good cause shown following notice to all parties and an opportunity for them to be heard.

Stipulated and approved this 10th day of October, 2012:

/s/ Hillary Ross
Hillary R. Ross (#42215)
Attorney for Plaintiffs Lisa Francis and
Heidi Beck
THE BOURASS LAW GROUP, LLC
3773 Cherry Creek North Dr., Suite 575
Denver, CO 80209
Tel: (303) 331-6186
hross@bourassalawgroup.com

/s/ David Asp
Susan E. Ellingstad (MN #243346)
David W. Asp (MN #344850)
Attorneys for Defendant Integra Telecom
Holdings, Inc.
LOCKRIDGE GRINDAL NAUEN P.L.L.P.
100 Washington Avenue South, Suite 2200
Minneapolis, MN 55401
Tel: (612) 339-6900
sellingstad@locklaw.com
dwasp@locklaw.com

BY THE COURT:

Dated: October 10, 2012


United States Magistrate Judge

EXHIBIT A:

WRITTEN ACKNOWLEDGEMENT TO STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER

The undersigned hereby acknowledges that he/she has carefully and completely read the Stipulated Protective Order in the pending litigation between Lisa Francis, Heidi Beck, and Integra Telecom Holdings, Inc.; that he/she is one of the persons contemplated in Paragraph 4 of the Stipulated Protective Order; and that he/she fully understands and agrees to abide by the obligations and confidences set forth in the Stipulated Protective Order. The undersigned consents to the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the District of Colorado for purposes of enforcing this Stipulated Protective Order.

Date: _____

Signature

Title or Position

Printed Name

Telephone Number