Karsten v. Davis et al Doc. 33

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO Judge Raymond P. Moore

Civil Action No. 12-cv-02107-RM-KLM

BRIAN RAY KARSTEN,

Plaintiff,

v.

BLAKE R. DAVIS, P.A. CAMACHO, AMBER L. NELSON, and FIVE JOHN/JANE DOES,

Defendants.

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION

This matter is before the Court on the June 7, 2013 Motion For Reconsideration Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 59(e) filed by Plaintiff Brian Ray Karsten. (ECF No. 32.) For the reason specified below, this Motion will be denied.

The three major grounds that justify reconsideration are: (1) an intervening change in the controlling law; (2) the availability of new evidence; and (3) the need to correct clear error or prevent manifest injustice. *See Servants of the Paraclete v. Does*, 204 F.3d 1005, 1012 (10th Cir. 2000). Upon review of the motion for reconsideration, the Court concludes that Plaintiff fails to demonstrate or even allege that any of the grounds justifying reconsideration exist in his case.

Notwithstanding the above, for the benefit of Plaintiff, the Court explains the following:

This matter was dismissed "without prejudice." That means Plaintiff is free to re-file a complaint without seeking further judicial permission, either from this Court or from the Court of Appeals.

In accordance with the foregoing, Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration (ECF No. 26) is DENIED.

Dated this 10th day of June, 2013.

BY THE COURT:

Raymond P. Moore United States District Judge