## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Civil Action No. 12-cv-02567-AP

DAVID PERITO,

Plaintiff,

v.

MICHAEL J. ASTRUE, Commissioner of the Social Security Administration,

Defendant.

### Joint Case Management Plan

#### 1. APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL

For Plaintiff: For Defendant:

Joseph A. Whitcomb Rocky Mountain Disability Law Group 1391 Speer Blvd Suite 703 Denver, CO 80204 (303) 534-1954

joe@RMDLG.com

Daniel E. Burrows Special Assistant U.S. Attorney Social Security Administration 1001 17th St Denver, CO 80202 (303) 844-7356 daniel.burrows@ssa.gov

# 2. STATEMENT OF LEGAL BASIS FOR SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION

This Court has jurisdiction based on Social Security Act §§ 205(g), 1631(c)(3), 42

U.S.C. §§ 405(g), 1383(c)(3) (2006).

### 3. DATES OF FILING RELEVANT PLEADINGS

- A. <u>Date Complaint Was Filed</u>: September 27, 2012 (initial complaint) October 31, 2012 (amended complaint)
- B. <u>Date Complaint Was Served on U.S. Attorney's Office</u>: November 2, 2012
- C. Date Answer and Administrative Record Were Filed: December 27, 2012

## 4. STATEMENT REGARDING THE ADEQUACY OF THE RECORD

To the best of their knowledge, the parties believe the administrative record is complete and accurate.

#### 5. STATEMENT REGARDING ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

Neither party intends to submit additional evidence.

## 6. STATEMENT REGARDING WHETHER THIS CASE RAISES UNUSUAL CLAIMS OR DEFENSES

The parties do not believe this case raises any unusual claims or defenses.

#### 7. OTHER MATTERS

This case is *not* on appeal from a decision issued on remand. The parties have no other matters to bring to the attention of the Court.

#### 8. BRIEFING SCHEDULE

- A. <u>Plaintiff's Opening Brief Due</u>: February 25, 2013
- B. <u>Defendant's Response Brief Due</u>: March 27, 2013
- C. Plaintiff's Reply Brief (If Any) Due: April 11, 2013

9. STATEMENTS REGARDING ORAL ARGUMENT

A. <u>Plaintiff's Statement</u>: Plaintiff does not request oral argument.

B. <u>Defendant's Statement</u>: Defendant does not request oral argument.

10. CONSENT TO EXERCISE OF JURISDICTION BY MAGISTRATE

**JUDGE** 

The parties *do not* consent to the exercise of jurisdiction by a magistrate judge.

11. AMENDMENTS TO JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN

Parties filing motions for extensions of time or continuances must comply with

D.C.COLO.LCivR 6.1(E) by submitting proof that a copy of the motion has been served

upon the *moving attorney's client*, all attorneys of record, and all pro se parties.

The parties agree that the joint case management plan may be altered or amended

only upon a showing of good cause.

DATED this 16<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2013.

BY THE COURT:

s/John L. Kane

U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

3

#### APPROVED:

JOHN F. WALSH **United States Attorney** 

/s/ Daniel E. Burrows FOR JOSEPH A. WHITCOMB Rocky Mountain Disability Law Group 1391 Speer Blvd Suite 703 Denver, CO 80204 (303) 534-1954

joe@RMDLG.com Attorney for Plaintiff

(SIGNED PER TELEPHONIC **AUTHORIZATION**)

J. BENEDICT GARCÍA Assistant United States Attorney

/s/ Daniel E. Burrows DANIEL E. BURROWS Special Assistant U.S. Attorney Social Security Administration Office of the General Counsel 1001 17th St Denver, CO 80202 (303) 844-7356 daniel.burrows@ssa.gov

Attorneys for Defendant