Luyk v. Astrue Doc. 14

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Civil Action No. 12-cv-02610-AP

Mary L. Luyk,

Plaintiff,

٧.

Michael J. Astrue, Commissioner of Social Security Defendant.

JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR SOCIAL SECURITY CASES

1. APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL AND PRO SE PARTIES

For Plaintiff:
Joseph A. Whitcomb, Esq.
Rocky Mountain Disability Law Group
1391 Speer Blvd., Suite 705
Denver, CO 80204
303-534-1954
303-534-1949 (facsimile)
joe@RMDLG.com

For Defendant: John F. Walsh United States Attorney

J. Benedict García Assistant United States Attorney United States Attorney's Office District of Colorado J.B.Garcia@usdoj.gov

David I. Blower Special Assistant United States Attorney Denver, Colorado 80202 303-844-1571 303-844-0770 (facsimile) David.blower@ssa.gov

2. STATEMENT OF LEGAL BASIS FOR SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION

The Court has jurisdiction based on section 205(g) of the Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. 405(g).

3. DATES OF FILING OF RELEVANT PLEADINGS

- A. Date Complaint Was Filed: 10/02/12
- B. Date Complaint Was Served on U.S. Attorney's Office: 10/17/12
- C. Date Answer and Administrative Record Were Filed: 12/17/12

4. STATEMENT REGARDING THE ADEQUACY OF THE RECORD

To the best of her knowledge, Plaintiff states that the record is complete and accurate.

To the best of his knowledge, Defendant states that the record is complete and accurate.

5. STATEMENT REGARDING ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

The parties do not anticipate submitting additional evidence.

6. STATEMENT REGARDING WHETHER THIS CASE RAISES UNUSUAL CLAIMS OR DEFENSES

The parties state that this case does not raise unusual claims or defenses.

7. OTHER MATTERS

The parties state that there are no other matters.

8. BRIEFING SCHEDULE

A. Plaintiff's Opening Brief Due: 2/19/13

B. Defendant's Response Brief Due: 3/21/13

C. Plaintiff's Reply Brief (If Any) Due: 4/5/13

9. STATEMENTS REGARDING ORAL ARGUMENT

- A. Plaintiff's Statement: Plaintiff does not request oral argument.
- **B. Defendant's Statement:** Defendant does not request oral argument.

10. CONSENT TO EXERCISE OF JURISDICTION BY MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Indicate below the parties' consent choice.

- A. () All parties have consented to the exercise of jurisdiction of a United States Magistrate Judge.
- B. (X) All parties have not consented to the exercise of jurisdiction of a United States Magistrate Judge.

11. AMENDMENTS TO JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN

THE PARTIES FILING MOTIONS FOR EXTENSION OF TIME OR CONTINUANCES MUST COMPLY WITH D.C.COLO.LCivR 7.1(C) BY SUBMITTING PROOF THAT A COPY OF THE MOTION HAS BEEN SERVED UPON THE MOVING ATTORNEY'S CLIENT, ALL ATTORNEYS OF RECORD, AND ALL PRO SE PARTIES.

The parties agree that the Joint Case Management Plan may be altered or amended only upon a showing of good cause.

DATED this 7th day of January, 2013.

BY THE COURT:

s/John L. Kane

U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

APPROVED:

John F. Walsh United States Attorney

s/Joseph A. Whitcomb

Rocky Mountain Disability Law Group 1391 Speer Blvd., Suite 705 Denver, CO 80204 303-534-1954 303-534-1949 (facsimile) joe@RMDLG.com Attorneys for Plaintiff By: s/ David I. Blower
Special Assistant U.S. Attorney
1001 17th Street
Denver, CO 80202
303-844-1571

303-844-0770 (facsimile) David.blower@ssa.gov

Attorneys for Defendant