IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Civil Action No. 12-cv-02798-BNB

MICHAEL ANTHONY DAILEY,

Plaintiff,

v.

SGT. DOIZAKI, #9537, CAPTAIN PERERA, DEP. VEITH, #10020, DEP. LOFLAND, #06077, CHARGE NURSE SUSAN, #00147, DR. JASON GROPE, Medical, NURSE PRACTITIONER PATTY KELLY, Medical, ELAINE MEYER, H.S.A., Medical, MILLER, #9927, GANAWAY, Ct. Serv., DESHA, #09069, BAKER, #08060, LONGFELLOW, #06017, FREEMAN, #9933, WENZEL, #00234, BREWER, #9526, SUSI, #03087, B. MOTT, #07071, ACTING SGT. FOR DOIZAKI, #9036, THOMPSON, #09073, and JOHN DOE(S) SORT, 12-28-11 EXTRACTION, BANDCROFT, KRAUS, #01070, BRISKI, #08032, REID, #07067, TERRY, #9919, GREENMEYER, MONTANNA, SGT, TRACY, #8722, SEG. ORTON, #07058, SEG. SHIELDS, #07020, SEG. CARTER, #07064, SEG. MATTHEWS, #09018, SEG. HOPKINS, ROBINSON, #10068,

MOLLERUD, #9953, ALBERCIE, #08040, GORDON, #9208, METCALF, #07051, C. JONES, #10018, LT. JD KNIGHT, 8626, SGT. STEDMAN, #9837, SGT. SMICHNY, #9118, SGT. WISCAMB, #9750, SGT. KELSO, #00205, SGT. CRANFILL, #03060, SGT. IPPLE, #00209, LT. FOGG, #02054. LT. WHITAKER, #9042, L. WESTBERG, #8727, M. ORTLER, #8674, L. TURNIGE, ACSO, SHERIFF GRAYSON ROBINSON, BRANDI, Class, ERIN, Law Clerk, L.S., Classification, 05088, TARA, Classification, and NURSE JOE,

Defendants.

ORDER DIRECTING PLAINTIFF TO FILE AMENDED COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, Michael Anthony Dailey, is in the custody of the Colorado Department of Corrections and currently is incarcerated at the Bent County Correctional Facility in Las Animas, Colorado. Mr. Dailey, acting *pro se*, filed a Prisoner Complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 for money damages and declaratory and injunctive relief. The Complaint is fifty-nine pages long and names fifty-seven defendants. The Court must construe Mr. Dailey's filings liberally because he is not represented by an attorney. *See Haines v. Kerner*, 404 U.S. 519, 520-21 (1972); *Hall v. Bellmon*, 935 F.2d 1106, 1110 (10th Cir.

1991). However, the Court cannot act as an advocate for a *pro se* litigant. *See Hall*, 935 F.2d at 1110. For the reasons stated below, Mr. Dailey will be directed to file an Amended Complaint.

The twin purposes of a complaint are to give the opposing parties fair notice of the basis for the claims against them so that they may respond and to allow the Court to conclude that the allegations, if proven, show that the plaintiff is entitled to relief. *See Monument Builders of Greater Kansas City, Inc. v. American Cemetery Ass'n of Kansas*, 891 F.2d 1473, 1480 (10th Cir. 1989). The requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 8 are designed to meet these purposes. *See TV Communications Network, Inc. v. ESPN, Inc.*, 767 F. Supp. 1062, 1069 (D. Colo. 1991), *aff'd*, 964 F.2d 1022 (10th Cir. 1992). Rule 8(a) provides that a complaint "must contain (1) a short and plain statement of the grounds for the court's jurisdiction, . . . (2) a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief; and (3) a demand for the relief sought." The philosophy of Rule 8(a) is reinforced by Rule 8(d)(1), which provides that "[e]ach allegation must be simple, concise, and direct." Taken together, Rules 8(a) and (d)(1) underscore the emphasis placed on clarity and brevity by the federal pleading rules. Prolix, vague, or unintelligible pleadings violate Rule 8.

Claims must be presented clearly and concisely in a manageable format that allows a court and a defendant to know what claims are being asserted and to be able to respond to those claims. *New Home Appliance Ctr., Inc., v. Thompson*, 250 F.2d 881, 883 (10th Cir. 1957). For the purposes of Rule 8(a), "[i]t is sufficient, and indeed all that is permissible, if the complaint concisely states facts upon which relief can be granted upon any legally sustainable basis." *Id.* The Court has reviewed Mr. Dailey's

3

Complaint and finds that he fails to provide a short and plain statement of his claims in compliance with the pleading requirements of Rule 8 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. He has presented his claims in a narrative, chronological format that is repetitive and unnecessarily long. Furthermore, although Mr. Dailey specifically states the conduct of certain named defendants alleged to violate his constitutional rights, his claims for the most part are conclusory and vague.

A decision to dismiss a complaint pursuant to Rule 8 is within the trial court's sound discretion. *See Atkins v. Northwest Airlines, Inc.*, 967 F.2d 1197, 1203 (8th Cir. 1992); *Gillibeau v. City of Richmond*, 417 F.2d 426, 431 (9th Cir. 1969). The Court, however, will give Mr. Dailey an opportunity to cure the deficiencies in the Complaint by submitting an Amended Complaint that meets the requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 8.

To state a claim in federal court Mr. Dailey must explain (1) what a defendant did to him; (2) when the defendant did it; (3) how the defendant's action harmed him; and (4) what specific legal right the defendant violated. *Nasious v. Two Unknown B.I.C.E. Agents*, 492 F.3d 1158, 1163 (10th Cir. 2007).

Mr. Dailey also must assert personal participation by each named defendant in the alleged constitutional violation. *See Bennett v. Passic*, 545 F.2d 1260, 1262-63 (10th Cir. 1976). To establish personal participation, Mr. Dailey **must show how each named individual caused the deprivation of a federal right**. *See Kentucky v. Graham*, 473 U.S. 159, 166 (1985) (emphasis added). There must be an affirmative link between the alleged constitutional violation and each defendant's participation, control or direction, or failure to supervise. *See Butler v. City of Norman*, 992 F.2d

4

1053, 1055 (10th Cir. 1993). Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that within thirty days from the date of this Order, Mr. Dailey shall file an Amended Complaint that complies with this Order. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Dailey shall obtain the Court-approved Prisoner Complaint form (with the assistance of his case manager or the facility's legal assistant), along with the applicable instructions, at <u>www.cod.uscourts.gov</u>. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that, if Mr. Dailey fails to file an Amended Complaint that complies with this Order within the time allowed, the Court will dismiss the Complaint and the action without further notice.

DATED December 11, 2012, at Denver, Colorado.

BY THE COURT:

<u>s/ Boyd N. Boland</u> United States Magistrate Judge