

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Civil Action No. 12-cv-03150-MSK-KLM

DOUGLAS LARSON, in his capacity as Bankruptcy Trustee for the Estate of Cynthia Coreyn Tester-Lamar,

Plaintiff,

v.

ONE BEACON INSURANCE COMPANY,

Defendant.

MINUTE ORDER

ENTERED BY MAGISTRATE JUDGE KRISTEN L. MIX

This matter is before the Court on Defendant's **Motion to Stay Phase II Discovery** [Docket No. 35; Filed April 15, 2013] (the "Motion to Stay") and on the parties' **Joint Motion to Amend Phase I Discovery and Briefing Deadlines** [Docket No. 41; Filed May 13, 2013] (the "Motion to Amend"). Plaintiff's oral motion to compel documents, which relates to the Phase I discovery period in this matter, is pending before the Court. An order on the oral motion will issue in due course. Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY **ORDERED** that the Motion to Amend [#41] is **GRANTED**, as follows.

IT IS FURTHER **ORDERED** that the Phase I Discovery Deadline is extended to **July 1, 2013**.

IT IS FURTHER **ORDERED** that Plaintiff shall file a Response to the Motion for Summary Judgment [#12] **on or before July 17, 2013. No extension shall be granted on this deadline.**

IT IS FURTHER **ORDERED** that Defendant shall file a Reply to the Motion for Summary Judgment [#12] **on or before August 1, 2013. No extension shall be granted on this deadline.**

The Court notes that the Phase II Scheduling Order [#32] entered on April 4, 2013, anticipated that Phase II discovery would commence once briefing was completed on the pending Motion for Summary Judgment. Accordingly,

IT IS FURTHER **ORDERED**, *sua sponte*, that the Phase II Scheduling Order is amended as follows:

Rule 26(a)(1) Initial Disclosures	August 8, 2013
Deadline for Joinder of Parties and Amendment of Pleadings	September 16, 2013
Affirmative Expert Disclosure Deadline	December 2, 2013
Rebuttal Expert Disclosure Deadline	January 2, 2014
Discovery Deadline	February 3, 2014
Dispositive Motions Deadline	March 3, 2014

IT IS FURTHER **ORDERED** that Defendant's Motion to Stay [#35] is **DENIED without prejudice**. Given the Court's other rulings in this Minute Order, Defendant is now seeking a stay that would not commence until August. The Court therefore finds that the Motion to Stay is premature.

Dated: May 16, 2013