## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Civil Action No. 13-cv-00201-RM-KLM
HILLARY VALLES,
Plaintiff,
v.

GEN-X ECHO B, INC, d/b/a GENX and
MARTIN COLLAZO RANGEL,
Defendants.

## MINUTE ORDER

## ENTERED BY MAGISTRATE JUDGE KRISTEN L. MIX

This matter is before the Court on Defendant GEN-X ECHO B, Inc. d/b/a GENX's ("GENX") Partial Motion to Dismiss Pursuant to Rule 12(b)(6) [Docket No. 12; Filed April 29, 2013] (the "Motion"). On May 14, 2013, Plaintiff filed a Response [\#16]. On May 31, 2013, GENX filed a Reply [\#20].

On June 28, 2013, Plaintiff filed her Unopposed Motion to File Second Amended Complaint and Join Additional Parties [\#24] which was granted on July 2, 2013 [\#26]. On that date, the Second Amended Complaint [\#27] was accepted by the Court and became the operative pleading. Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Motion [\#12] is DENIED as moot. See, e.g., Strich v. United States, No. 09-cv-01913-REB-KLM, 2010 WL 14826, at *1 (D. Colo. Jan. 11,2010 ) (citations omitted) ("The filing of an amended complaint moots a motion to dismiss directed at the complaint that is supplanted and superseded."); Gotfredson $v$. Larsen LP, 432 F.Supp.2d 1163, 1172 (D. Colo. 2006) (noting that defendants' motions to dismiss are "technically moot because they are directed at a pleading that is no longer operative"); AJB Props., Ltd. v. Zarda Bar-B-Q of Lenexa, LLC, No. 09-2021-JWL, 2009 WL 1140185, at *1 (D. Kan. April 28, 2009) (finding that amended complaint superseded original complaint and "accordingly, defendant's motion to dismiss the original complaint is denied as moot").

Dated: July 9, 2013

