IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO Judge R. Brooke Jackson

Civil Action No 13-cv-00241-RBJ-MJW

DENNIS GARCIA,

Plaintiff,

V.

ARONOWITZ & MECKLENBURG, LLP,

Defendant.

ORDER

This matter is before the Court on the February 7, 2013 Recommendation of Magistrate Judge Michael J. Watanabe [docket #9]. The Recommendation addresses plaintiff's Motion for a Temporary Restraining Order [#3]. The Recommendation is incorporated herein by reference. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B), Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b).

The Recommendation advised the parties that specific written objections were due within fourteen (14) days after being served with a copy of the Recommendation. Despite this advisement, no objection to Magistrate Judge Watanabe's Recommendation was filed by either party. "In the absence of timely objection, the district court may review a magistrate . . . [judge's] report under any standard it deems appropriate." *Summers v. Utah*, 927 F.2d 1165, 1167 (10th Cir. 1991) (citing *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985) (stating that "[i]t does not appear that Congress intended to require district court review of a magistrate's factual or legal conclusions, under a *de novo* or any other standard, when neither party objects to those findings").

The Court has reviewed the relevant pleadings concerning the Recommendation. Based on this review, the Court concludes that the Magistrate Judge's analyses and recommendations are correct, and that "there is no clear error on the face of the record." Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's note. Therefore, the Court ADOPTS the Recommendation of The United States Magistrate Judge as the findings and conclusions of this Court.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED that the Recommendation of the United States Magistrate

Judge [#9] is AFFIRMED and ADOPTED. Plaintiff's Motion for a Temporary Restraining

Order [#3] is DENIED.

DATED this 8th day of April, 2013.

BY THE COURT:

R. Brooke Jackson

United States District Judge