Young v. Mitchell et al Doc. 6

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Civil Action No. 13-cv-00626-BNB

TIMOTHY DOYLE YOUNG,

Plaintiff,

٧.

MR. MITCHELL, MS. TRUJILLO, and MR. CARLILE.

Defendants.

## ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Plaintiff, Timothy Doyle Young, is in the custody of the United States Bureau of Prisons and currently is incarcerated at ADX in Florence, Colorado. Originally, Mr. Young, acting *pro se*, initiated this action by filing a habeas petition in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Illinois (Southern District of Illinois). The Southern District of Illinois construed the filings as a prisoner complaint and transferred the action to this Court pursuant to § 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and 1404(a).

In an order entered on March 12, 2013, Magistrate Judge Boyd N. Boland instructed Mr. Young to submit his claims on a Court-approved form used in filing prisoner complaints. Mr. Young also was instructed to submit to the Court a Prisoner's Motion and Affidavit for Leave to Proceed Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915 and a certified copy of his inmate trust fund account statement for the six months prior to the filing of the instant action, if he desired to proceed *in forma pauperis*. Otherwise, he was directed to pay the \$350.00 filing fee prior to proceeding in this action.

After review of Mr. Young's pleadings, the Court agrees that his claims properly

are asserted in a prisoner complaint. Mr. Young now has failed to communicate with the Court within the time allowed. As a result, he has failed to submit his claims on a Court-approved form used in filing prisoner complaints, and he has failed either to pay the \$350.00 filing fee in full or to submit a proper 28 U.S.C. § 1915 Motion and Affidavit along with a certified trust fund account statement for the six months preceding the filing date of this action within the time allowed. The action, therefore, will be dismissed.

The Court also certifies pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) that any appeal from this Order is not taken in good faith, and *in forma pauperis* status is denied for the purpose of appeal. See Coppedge v. United States, 369 U.S. 438 (1962). If Mr. Young files a notice of appeal he must pay the full \$455.00 appellate filing fee or file a motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* in the Tenth Circuit within thirty days in accordance with Fed. R. App. P. 24. Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the action is dismissed without prejudice pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. 41(b) for failure to comply with the March 12, 2013 Order and cure deficiencies. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* on appeal is denied.