## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO Judge Philip A. Brimmer

Civil Action No. 13-cv-01467-PAB-KLM

AMANDA BUSHY,

Plaintiff,

٧.

MEDICAL CENTER OF THE ROCKIES, a corporation, and Dr. JASJOT JOHAR, in his official and individual capacities,

Defendants.

## ORDER ACCEPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S RECOMMENDATION

\_\_\_\_\_

This matter is before the Court on the Recommendation of United States

Magistrate Judge Kristen L. Mix filed on October 7, 2013 [Docket No. 38]. The

Recommendation states that objections to the Recommendation must be filed within fourteen days after its service on the parties. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). The Recommendation was served on October 7, 2013. No party has objected to the Recommendation.

In the absence of an objection, the district court may review a magistrate judge's recommendation under any standard it deems appropriate. *See Summers v. Utah*, 927 F.2d 1165, 1167 (10th Cir. 1991); *see also Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985) ("[i]t does not appear that Congress intended to require district court review of a magistrate's factual or legal conclusions, under a *de novo* or any other standard, when neither party objects to those findings"). In this matter, the Court has reviewed the

Recommendation to satisfy itself that there is "no clear error on the face of the record." Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b), Advisory Committee Notes. Based on this review, the Court has concluded that the Recommendation is a correct application of the facts and the law. Accordingly, it is

## **ORDERED** as follows:

- 1. The Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge [Docket No. 38] is ACCEPTED.
- 2. Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion to Dismiss and for Leave to File Amended Complaint [Docket No. 36] is GRANTED.

DATED October 29, 2013.

BY THE COURT:

s/Philip A. Brimmer
PHILIP A. BRIMMER
United States District Judge

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This standard of review is something less than a "clearly erroneous or contrary to law" standard of review, Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(a), which in turn is less than a de novo review. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b).