Lehman v. Colvin Doc. 13

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Civil Action No. 13-cv-01600-AP

TONI A. LEHMAN, Plaintiff,

٧.

CAROLY W. COLVIN,
Acting Commissioner of Social Security
Defendant.

JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR SOCIAL SECURITY CASES

1. APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL AND PRO SE PARTIES

For Plaintiff:
Michael W. Seckar
402 W. 12th Street
Pueblo, CO 81003
719-543-8636
719-543-8403 (facsimile)
seckarlaw@mindspring.com

For Defendant: John F. Walsh United States Attorney

J. Benedict García Assistant United States Attorney United States Attorney's Office District of Colorado J.B.Garcia@usdoj.gov

Thomas H. Kraus Special Assistant United States Attorney 1961 Stout Street, Suite 4169 Denver, CO 80294-4003 303-844-0017 Thomas.kraus@ssa.gov

2. STATEMENT OF LEGAL BASIS FOR SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION

The Court has jurisdiction based on section 205(g) of the Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. § 405(g).

3. DATES OF FILING OF RELEVANT PLEADINGS

A. Date Complaint Was Filed: 6/19/13

B. Date Complaint Was Served on U.S. Attorney's Office: 8/22/13

C. Date Answer and Administrative Record Were Filed: 9/18/13

4. STATEMENT REGARDING THE ADEQUACY OF THE RECORD

To the best of his knowledge, Plaintiff states that the record is complete and accurate.

To the best of her knowledge, Defendant states that the record is complete and accurate.

5. STATEMENT REGARDING ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

The parties do not anticipate submitting additional evidence.

6. STATEMENT REGARDING WHETHER THIS CASE RAISES UNUSUAL CLAIMS OR DEFENSES

The parties state that this case does not raise unusual claims or defenses.

7. OTHER MATTERS

This case is *not* an appeal from a decision issued on remand. The parties have no other matters to bring to the attention of the Court.

8. BRIEFING SCHEDULE

A. Plaintiff's Opening Brief Due: 11/4/13

B. Defendant's Response Brief Due: 12/10/13

C. Plaintiff's Reply Brief (If Any) Due: 12/27/13

9. STATEMENTS REGARDING ORAL ARGUMENT

- A. Plaintiff's Statement: Plaintiff does not request oral argument.
- **B. Defendant's Statement:** Defendant does not request oral argument.

10. CONSENT TO EXERCISE OF JURISDICTION BY MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Indicate below the parties' consent choice.

- A. () All parties have consented to the exercise of jurisdiction of a United States Magistrate Judge.
- B. (X) All parties have not consented to the exercise of jurisdiction of a United States Magistrate Judge.

11. AMENDMENTS TO JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN

THE PARTIES FILING MOTIONS FOR EXTENSION OF TIME OR CONTINUANCES MUST COMPLY WITH D.C.COLO.LCivR 7.1(C) BY SUBMITTING PROOF THAT A COPY OF THE MOTION HAS BEEN SERVED UPON THE MOVING ATTORNEY'S CLIENT, ALL ATTORNEYS OF RECORD, AND ALL PRO SE PARTIES.

The parties agree that the Joint Case Management Plan may be altered or amended only upon a showing of good cause.

DATED this 8th day of October, 2013.

BY THE COURT:

s/John L. Kane

U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

APPROVED:

s/ Michael W. Seckar 402 W. 12th Street Pueblo, CO 81003 719-573-8636 719-543-8403 (facsimile) seckarlaw@mindspring.com Attorneys for Plaintiff John F. Walsh United States Attorney

By: s/Thomas H. Kraus Special Assistant U.S. Attorney 1961 Stout Street, Suite 4169 Denver, CO 80294-4003 303-844-0017 Thomas.kraus@ssa.gov Attorney for Defendant