Pittman v. Young et al Doc. 5

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Civil Action No. 13-cv-01935-BNB

EMANUEL V. PITTMAN,

Applicant,

٧.

ANTHONY YOUNG,
BECKY R. LUCERO,
COLORADO BOARD OF PAROLE, and
JOHN W. SUTHERS, The Attorney General fo the State of Colorado,

Respondents.

## ORDER TO FILE PRE-ANSWER RESPONSE

As part of the preliminary consideration of the Application for a Writ of Habeas Corpus Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 in this case, the Court has determined that a limited Pre-Answer Response is appropriate. At this time only Respondent John W. Suthers will be directed, pursuant to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts and to *Denson v. Abbott*, 554 F.Supp. 2d 1206 (D. Colo. 2008), to file a Pre-Answer Response limited to addressing the affirmative defenses of timeliness under 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d) and/or exhaustion of state court remedies under 28 U.S.C. § 2254(b)(1)(A). If Respondent Suthers does not intend to raise either of these affirmative defenses, he must notify the Court of that decision in the Pre-Answer Response. Respondent Suthers may not file a dispositive motion as his Pre-Answer Response, or an Answer, or otherwise address the merits of the claims in response to this Order.

In support of the Pre-Answer Response, Respondent Suthers should attach as exhibits all relevant portions of the state court record, including but not limited to copies

of all documents demonstrating whether this action is filed in a timely manner and/or whether Applicant has exhausted state court remedies.

Applicant may reply to the Pre-Answer Response and provide any information that might be relevant to the one-year limitation period under 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d) and/or the exhaustion of state court remedies. Applicant also should include information relevant to equitable tolling, specifically as to whether he has pursued his claims diligently and whether some extraordinary circumstance prevented him from filing a timely 28 U.S.C. § 2254 action in this Court. Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the only properly named Respondent for the purpose of service at this time is John W. Suthers, The Attorney General of the State of Colorado. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that within twenty-one days from the date of this

Order Respondent Suthers shall file a Pre-Answer Response that complies with this

Order. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that within twenty-one days of the filing of the Pre-Answer Response Applicant may file a Reply, if he desires. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that if Respondent Suthers does not intend to raise either of the affirmative defenses of timeliness or exhaustion of state court remedies, he must notify the Court of that decision in the Pre-Answer Response.

Dated: July 30, 2013

BY THE COURT:

s/Boyd N. Boland
United States Magistrate Judge