

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-02130-AP

MICHELLE L. STEINER,  
Plaintiff,

v.

Carolyn W. Colvin,  
Acting Commissioner of Social Security,  
Defendant.

---

**JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR SOCIAL SECURITY CASES**

---

**1. APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL AND *PRO SE* PARTIES**

For Plaintiff:

Michael W. Seckar  
Attorney  
402 W. 12th Street  
Pueblo, CO 81003  
(719) 543-8636  
(719) 543-8403 (facsimile)  
[seckarlaw@mindspring.com](mailto:seckarlaw@mindspring.com)

For Defendant:

John F. Walsh  
United States Attorney

J. Benedict García  
Assistant United States Attorney  
United States Attorney's Office  
District of Colorado  
[J.B.Garcia@usdoj.gov](mailto:J.B.Garcia@usdoj.gov)

Alexess D. Rea  
Special Assistant United States Attorney  
1961 Stout, Suite 4169  
Denver, Colorado 80294-4003  
303-844-7101  
303-844-0770 (facsimile)  
[Alexess.rea@ssa.gov](mailto:Alexess.rea@ssa.gov)

## **2. STATEMENT OF LEGAL BASIS FOR SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION**

The Court has jurisdiction based on section 205(g) of the Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. 405(g).

## **3. DATES OF FILING OF RELEVANT PLEADINGS**

- A. Date Complaint Was Filed:** August 12, 2013
- B. Date Complaint Was Served on U.S. Attorney's Office:** September 27, 2013
- C. Date Answer and Administrative Record Were Filed:** November 15, 2013

## **4. STATEMENT REGARDING THE ADEQUACY OF THE RECORD**

The parties state that, to the best of their knowledge, the record is complete and accurate.

## **5. STATEMENT REGARDING ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE**

The parties do not anticipate any additional evidence.

## **6. STATEMENT REGARDING WHETHER THIS CASE RAISES UNUSUAL CLAIMS OR DEFENSES**

The parties state that this case does not appear to raise unusual claims or defenses.

## **7. OTHER MATTERS**

The parties are not aware of any other matters.

## **8. BRIEFING SCHEDULE**

The parties request a briefing schedule two weeks outside of the standard briefing schedule to account for a large number of cases where answers were filed on the same day.

- A. Plaintiff's Opening Brief Due:** January 22, 2014
- B. Defendant's Response Brief Due:** February 24, 2014
- C. Plaintiff's Reply Brief (If Any) Due:** March 10, 2014

**9. STATEMENTS REGARDING ORAL ARGUMENT**

- A. **Plaintiff's Statement:** Plaintiff does not request oral argument.
- B. **Defendant's Statement:** Defendant does not request oral argument.

**10. CONSENT TO EXERCISE OF JURISDICTION BY MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

***Indicate below the parties' consent choice.***

- A. ( ) All parties have consented to the exercise of jurisdiction of a United States Magistrate Judge.
- B. ( X ) All parties have not consented to the exercise of jurisdiction of a United States Magistrate Judge.

**11. AMENDMENTS TO JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN**

THE PARTIES FILING MOTIONS FOR EXTENSION OF TIME OR CONTINUANCES MUST COMPLY WITH D.C.COLO.LCivR 7.1(C) BY SUBMITTING PROOF THAT A COPY OF THE MOTION HAS BEEN SERVED UPON THE MOVING ATTORNEY'S CLIENT, ALL ATTORNEYS OF RECORD, AND ALL PRO SE PARTIES.

***The parties agree that the Joint Case Management Plan may be altered or amended only upon a showing of good cause.***

DATED this 5<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2013.

BY THE COURT:

s/John L. Kane  
U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE