IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-02315-AP

DIANA I. ANDERSON,

Plaintiff,

۷.

CAROLYN W. COLVIN, Acting Commissioner of Social Security,

Defendant.

JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR SOCIAL SECURITY CASES

1. APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL AND PRO SE PARTIES

For Plaintiff: Frederick W. Newall #10269 730 N. Weber, #101 Colorado Springs, CO 80903 (719) 633-5211 fnewall@qwestoffice.net

For Defendant: John F. Walsh United States Attorney

J. Benedict García Assistant United States Attorney United States Attorney's Office J.B.Garcia@usdoj.gov

Allan D. Berger Special Assistant United States Attorney Denver, Colorado 80202 303-844-2149 303-844-0770 (facsimile) <u>Allan.berger@ssa.gov</u>

2. STATEMENT OF LEGAL BASIS FOR SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION

The parties do not dispute that the Court has jurisdiction based on section 205(g) of the Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. 405(g).

3. DATES OF FILING OF RELEVANT PLEADINGS

- A. Date Complaint Was Filed: August 28, 2013
- B. Date Complaint Was Served on U.S. Attorney's Office: August 29, 2013
- C. Date Answer and Administrative Record Were Filed: December 3, 2013

4. STATEMENT REGARDING THE ADEQUACY OF THE RECORD

To the best of her knowledge, Plaintiff states that the record is complete and accurate.

To the best of her knowledge, Defendant states that the record is complete and accurate.

5. STATEMENT REGARDING ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

The parties do not anticipate submitting additional evidence.

6. STATEMENT REGARDING WHETHER THIS CASE RAISES UNUSUAL CLAIMS OR DEFENSES

This case involves an unusually complicated claim. Specifically, this case involves a claim that the ALJ erred in determining Plaintiff's disability ceased in September 2002 because her condition had medically improved. This portion of the case involves a section of the Listings that was deleted in 1999, i.e., between the time Plaintiff was first awarded benefits and September 2002. In addition, this case involves a claim that the ALJ erred in determining Plaintiff was not disabled prior to March 23, 2009, when the Commissioner found Plaintiff was disabled.

7. OTHER MATTERS

The case is on appeal from a decision issued on remand from the Tenth Circuit and this Court:

Anderson v. Astrue, No. 09-1149 (10th Cir., Aug. 25, 2009)

Anderson v. Astrue, No. 07-cv-1877-RPM (D.Colo. Feb. 10, 2011).

8. BRIEFING SCHEDULE

- A. Plaintiff's Opening Brief Due: February 3, 2014
- B. Defendant's Response Brief Due: March 3, 2014
- C. Plaintiff's Reply Brief (If Any) Due: March 18, 2014
- 9. STATEMENTS REGARDING ORAL ARGUMENT
- A. Plaintiff's Statement: Plaintiff does not request oral argument.
- B. Defendant's Statement: Defendant does not request oral argument.
- 10. CONSENT TO EXERCISE OF JURISDICTION BY MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Indicate below the parties' consent choice.

- A. () All parties have consented to the exercise of jurisdiction of a United States Magistrate Judge.
- B. (X) All parties have not consented to the exercise of jurisdiction of a United States Magistrate Judge.

11. AMENDMENTS TO JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN

THE PARTIES FILING MOTIONS FOR EXTENSION OF TIME OR CONTINUANCES MUST COMPLY WITH D.C.COLO.LCivR 7.1(C) BY SUBMITTING PROOF THAT A COPY OF THE MOTION HAS BEEN SERVED UPON THE <u>MOVING ATTORNEY'S CLIENT</u>, ALL ATTORNEYS OF RECORD, AND ALL PRO SE PARTIES.

The parties agree that the Joint Case Management Plan may be altered or amended only upon a showing of <u>good cause</u>.

DATED this 23rd day of December, 2013.

BY THE COURT:

s/John L. Kane U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

APPROVED:

<u>s/ Frederick W. Newall</u> Frederick W. Newall #10269 730 N. Weber, #101 Colorado Springs, CO 80903 (719) 633-5211 <u>fnewall@qwestoffice.net</u> Attorney for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

John F. Walsh United States Attorney

By: <u>s/Allan D. Berger</u> Special Assistant U.S. Attorney 1001 17th Street Denver, CO 80202 303-844-2149 303-844-0770 (facsimile) <u>Allan.berger@ssa.gov</u> Attorneys for Defendant