Soto v. Colvin Doc. 11

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-02454-AP

Eugene M. Soto,

Plaintiff,

٧.

Carolyn W. Colvin,
Acting Commissioner of Social Security,
Defendant.

JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR SOCIAL SECURITY CASES

1. APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL AND PRO SE PARTIES

For Plaintiff:
Joseph A. Whitcompb, Esq.
Rocky Mountain Disability Law Group
1391 Speer Boulevard, Suite 705
Denver, Colorado 80204
303-534-1954
303-534-1949 (facsimile)
Joe@RMDLG.com

John F. Walsh United States Attorney

J.B. García Assistant United States Attorney District of Colorado For Defendant:

Stephanie Lynn F. Kiley Special Assistant United States Attorney Office of the General Counsel Social Security Administration 1961 Stout Street, Suite 4169 Denver, Colorado 80294-4003 (303) 844-0815

stephanie.kiley@ssa.gov

2. STATEMENT OF LEGAL BASIS FOR SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION

The Court has jurisdiction based on section 205(g) of the Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. § 405(g).

3. DATES OF FILING OF RELEVANT PLEADINGS

- A. Date Complaint Was Filed: September 9, 2013
- B. Date Complaint Was Served on U.S. Attorney's Office: December 30, 2013
- C. Date Answer and Administrative Record Were Filed: February 21, 2014

4. STATEMENT REGARDING THE ADEQUACY OF THE RECORD

The parties, to the best of their knowledge, state that the administrative record is complete and accurate.

5. STATEMENT REGARDING ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

Neither party intends to submit additional evidence.

6. STATEMENT REGARDING WHETHER THIS CASE RAISES UNUSUAL CLAIMS OR DEFENSES

The parties, to the best of their knowledge, do not believe this case raises any unusual claims or defenses.

7. OTHER MATTERS

The parties have no other matters to bring to the attention of the Court. This case is not on appeal from a decision issued on remand from this Court.

8. BRIEFING SCHEDULE

Counsel for both parties conferred and request the following briefing schedule, which is outside of the standard time frame, due to Defendant's case load:

- A. Plaintiff's Opening Brief Due: May 14, 2014
- B. Defendant's Response Brief Due: June 13, 2014
- C. Plaintiff's Reply Brief (If Any) Due: June 28, 2014

9. STATEMENTS REGARDING ORAL ARGUMENT

- A. Plaintiff's Statement: Plaintiff does not request oral argument.
- B. Defendant's Statement: Defendant does not request oral argument.

10. CONSENT TO EXERCISE OF JURISDICTION BY MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Indicate below the parties' consent choice.

- A. () All parties have consented to the exercise of jurisdiction of a United States Magistrate Judge.
- B. (X) All parties have not consented to the exercise of jurisdiction of a United States Magistrate Judge.

11. AMENDMENTS TO JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN

THE PARTIES FILING MOTIONS FOR EXTENSION OF TIME OR CONTINUANCES MUST COMPLY WITH D.C.COLO.LCivR 7.1(C) BY SUBMITTING PROOF THAT A COPY OF THE MOTION HAS BEEN SERVED UPON THE MOVING ATTORNEY'S CLIENT, ALL ATTORNEYS OF RECORD, AND ALL PRO SE PARTIES.

The parties agree that the Joint Case Management Plan may be altered or amended only upon a showing of good cause.

DATED this 19 th day of March, 20
--

BY THE COURT:

s/John L. Kane

U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

APPROVED:

By: Joseph A. Whitcomb
Rocky Mountain Disability Law Group
1391 Speer Blvd., Suite 705
Denver, CO 80204
303-534-1954
joe@RMDLG.com

/s/ Stephanie Lynn F. Kiley
By: Stephanie Lynn F. Kiley
Special Assistant U.S. Attorney
1001 17th Street, 6th Floor
Denver, CO 80202
303-844-0815
stephanie.kiley@ssa.gov