German v. Colvin Doc. 19

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Civil Action No. 13-cv-02490-AP

LOUIS D. GERMAN,

Plaintiff,

٧.

CAROLYN W. COLVIN, Acting Commissioner of Social Security,

Defendant.

JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR SOCIAL SECURITY CASES

1. APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL AND PRO SE PARTIES

For Plaintiff: Frederick W. Newall #10269 730 N. Weber, #101 Colorado Springs, CO 80903 (719) 633-5211 fnewall@gwestoffice.net

For Defendant: John F. Walsh United States Attorney

J. Benedict García Assistant United States Attorney United States Attorney's Office J.B.Garcia@usdoj.gov

Allan D. Berger Special Assistant United States Attorney Denver, Colorado 80202 303-844-2149 303-844-0770 (facsimile) Allan.berger@ssa.gov

2. STATEMENT OF LEGAL BASIS FOR SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION

The parties do not dispute that the Court has jurisdiction based on section 205(g) of the Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. 405(g).

3. DATES OF FILING OF RELEVANT PLEADINGS

- A. Date Complaint Was Filed: September 12, 2013
- B. Date Complaint Was Served on U.S. Attorney's Office: September 16, 2013
- C. Date Answer and Administrative Record Were Filed: January 2, 2014

4. STATEMENT REGARDING THE ADEQUACY OF THE RECORD

To the best of her knowledge, Plaintiff states that the record is complete and accurate.

To the best of her knowledge, Defendant states that the record is complete and accurate.

5. STATEMENT REGARDING ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

The parties do not anticipate submitting additional evidence.

6. STATEMENT REGARDING WHETHER THIS CASE RAISES UNUSUAL CLAIMS OR DEFENSES

This case does not raise any unusual claims or defenses.

7. OTHER MATTERS

There are no other matters.

8. BRIEFING SCHEDULE

- A. Plaintiff's Opening Brief Due: March 3, 2014
- B. Defendant's Response Brief Due: April 2, 2014
- C. Plaintiff's Reply Brief (If Any) Due: April 17, 2014

9. STATEMENTS REGARDING ORAL ARGUMENT

[The parties should state whether they request oral argument. If oral argument is requested, the requesting party(ies) should explain the need for oral argument. Even if oral argument is requested by one or both parties, it will be the decision of the Judge to whom the case is randomly drawn to determine whether there is a need for such argument.]

- A. Plaintiff's Statement: Plaintiff does not request oral argument.
- B. Defendant's Statement: Defendant does not request oral argument.
- 10. CONSENT TO EXERCISE OF JURISDICTION BY MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Indicate below the parties' consent choice.

- A. () All parties have consented to the exercise of jurisdiction of a United States Magistrate Judge.
- B. (X) All parties have not consented to the exercise of jurisdiction of a United States Magistrate Judge.

11. AMENDMENTS TO JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN

THE PARTIES FILING MOTIONS FOR EXTENSION OF TIME OR CONTINUANCES MUST COMPLY WITH D.C.COLO.LCivR 7.1(C) BY SUBMITTING PROOF THAT A COPY OF THE MOTION HAS BEEN SERVED UPON THE MOVING ATTORNEY'S CLIENT, ALL ATTORNEYS OF RECORD, AND ALL PRO SE PARTIES.

The parties agree that the Joint Case Management Plan may be altered or amended only upon a showing of good cause.

DATED this 22nd day of January, 2013.	
	BY THE COURT:
	s/John L. Kane
	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

APPROVED:

John F. Walsh United States Attorney

s/ Frederick W. Newall
Frederick W. Newall #10269
730 N. Weber, #101
Colorado Springs, CO 80903
(719) 633-5211
fnewall@qwestoffice.net
Attorney for Plaintiff

By: s/Allan D. Berger
Special Assistant U.S. Attorney
1001 17th Street
Denver, CO 80202
303-844-2149
303-844-0770 (facsimile)
Allan.berger@ssa.gov
Attorneys for Defendant