Haskett v. Flanders et al Doc. 59

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Civil Action No. 13-cv-03392-RBJ-KLM

PHILLIP DAVID HASKETT,

Plaintiff,

٧.

GARY WOODROW FLANDERS,

DOMINICK LUNA, Colorado Springs Police Department Officer, in his individual and official capacities, and

THE COLORADO SPRINGS POLICE DEPARTMENT, a Department of the City of Colorado Springs, Colorado,

Defendants.

MINUTE ORDER

ENTERED BY MAGISTRATE JUDGE KRISTEN L. MIX

This matter is before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to File a Sur-Reply to Defendant Flanders' Reply in Support of His Combined Second Motion to Dismiss and Motion to Strike [#55] (the "Motion for Leave") and on Plaintiff's Motion to Set Rule 26(f) Conference or in the Alternate [sic], Motion to Enter Proposed Scheduling Order [#57] (the "Motion to Set").

IT IS HEREBY **ORDERED** that the Motion for Leave [#55] is **DENIED**. Surreplies are not contemplated by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or the Local Rules of Practice, and leave to file a surreply is rarely granted. The Court is adequately advised of the issues raised in Defendant Flanders' Motion to Dismiss [#49] on the parties' present briefings.

IT IS FURTHER **ORDERED** that the Motion to Set [#57] is **DENIED**. A Scheduling Conference will be set, if necessary, after resolution of the pending Motions to Dismiss [#37, #49]. A Scheduling Order will be entered at the time of the Scheduling Conference.

Dated: October 10, 2014