Whittle v. Marley et al Doc. 66

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Civil Action No. 14-cv-02680-RPM

SHANE WHITTLE, INDIVIDUALLY AND DERIVATIVELY ON BEHALF OF MARLEY COFFEE LLC,

Plaintiffs.

٧.

ROHAN MARLEY, CEDELLA MARLEY, JAMMIN' JAVA CORPORATION, HOPE ROAD MERCHANDISING, LLC, FIFTY-SIX HOPE ROAD MUSIC LIMITED, MARLEY COFFEE ESTATE LIMITED,

Defendants.

## ORDER FOR HEARING

Considering the briefing on the defendants' motions to dismiss under Fed.R.Civ.P. 12(b)(6), a hearing will be scheduled for oral argument on the following issues:

- 1. What matters outside the complaint may be considered as a result of the attachments to the motions and the defendants' request for judicial notice?
- Whether the plaintiff may proceed on claims derivatively on behalf of Marley Coffee, LLC?
- 3. What is the current legal status of Marley Coffee LLC?
- 4. Does the plaintiff have any cognizable interest in the Marley Coffee trademarks?

- What is the relationship between this case and Whittle v. Jarmmin' Java 5. Corp. in the Denver District Court?
- What is the applicability of the economic loss rule? 6.

These issues will be addressed at a hearing to be scheduled upon a date to be set after consultation with counsel by this Court's secretary.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 15, 2015.

BY THE COURT:

s/Richard P. Matsch

Richard P. Matsch, Senior District Judge