IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Civil Action No. 14-cv-02894-BNB

NICK RAUL MEDINA,

Applicant,

٧.

JAMES FALK, Warden, and THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF COLORADO,

Respondents.

ORDER TO FILE PRELIMINARY/PRE-ANSWER RESPONSE

Applicant, Nick Raul Medina, is a prisoner in the custody of the Colorado Department of Corrections who currently is incarcerated at the correctional facility in Sterling, Colorado. Applicant has filed *pro* se an Application for a Writ of Habeas Corpus Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241 challenging his "SVP" designation.

As part of the preliminary consideration of the Application in this case, the Court has determined that a limited Response is appropriate. Because it is not clear whether the action is a challenge to the validity of Applicant's conviction and sentence, which is addressed in a § 2254 action, or a challenge to the execution of his sentence, which is addressed in a § 2241 action, the Court will direct the Respondents to brief this issue.

Respondents also are directed pursuant to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing
Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts to address the affirmative

defenses of timeliness under 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d) and/or exhaustion of state court remedies that apply to either § 2254 actions or § 2241 actions. If Respondents do not intend to raise either of these affirmative defenses, Respondents must notify the Court of that decision in the Response. Respondents may not file a dispositive motion as a Preliminary or Pre-Answer Response, or an Answer, or otherwise address the merits of the claims in response to this Order.

In support of the Response, Respondents should attach as exhibits all relevant portions of the state court record, including but not limited to copies of all documents demonstrating whether this action is filed in a timely manner and/or whether Applicant has exhausted state court remedies.

Applicant may reply to the Response and provide any information that might be relevant to the classification of this action and the one-year limitation period under 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d) and/or the exhaustion of state court remedies. Applicant also should include information relevant to equitable tolling, specifically as to whether he has pursued his claims diligently and whether some extraordinary circumstance prevented him from filing a timely habeas action in this Court as is necessary in response to Respondents' briefing regarding the classification of this action. Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that within twenty-one days from the date of this Order

Respondents shall file a Pre-Answer or Preliminary Response that complies with this

Order. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that within twenty-one days of the filing of the Response Applicant may file a Reply, if he desires. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that if Respondents do not intend to raise either of the affirmative defenses of timeliness or exhaustion of state court remedies, Respondents must notify the Court of that decision in the Response.

Dated: November 11, 2014

BY THE COURT:

s/Boyd N. Boland

United States Magistrate Judge

3