

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Civil Action No. 14-cv-03024-MSK-MEH

DARRELL HAVENS,

Plaintiff,

v.

COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS,

Defendant.

---

**MINUTE ORDER**

---

**Entered by Michael E. Hegarty, United States Magistrate Judge, on June 24, 2015.**

Plaintiff's "Request [for] a Judge[ 's] Order for Immediate Preliminary Injunction & [for the] DRDC [to] Let [him have] His Documents/Discovery in his Cell as He is Pro Se Pursuant to FRCP 65(a) [filed June 19, 2015; docket #28], construed as Plaintiff's request for an order requiring the Defendant to permit him to have more than one "banker's box" of discovery documents in his cell, is **denied without prejudice**. First, although Plaintiff cites to Fed. R. Civ. P. 65(a), he does not address the elements necessary to justify a preliminary injunction. *See Schrier v. Univ. of Colo.*, 427 F.3d 1253, 1258 (10th Cir. 2005) ("(1) the party will suffer irreparable injury unless the injunction issues; (2) the threatened injury outweighs whatever damage the proposed injunction may cause the opposing party; (3) the injunction, if issued, would not be adverse to the public interest; and (4) there is a substantial likelihood of success on the merits."). Second, Plaintiff does not cite any legal support for his request that the Court order Defendant to permit him to have more than one banker's box of discovery documents in his cell. D.C. Colo. LCivR 7.1(d).