

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Civil Action No. 15-cv-00101-MEH

JONATHAN BOYD, and
JAMI LARSON,

Plaintiffs,

v.

MONTEZUMA COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE,
DENNIS SPRUELL, in his individual capacity,
ADAM ALCON, in his individual capacity and official capacity at the Montezuma County
Sheriff's Office, and
STEVE NOWLIN, in his official capacity as Sheriff of Montezuma County,

Defendants.

MINUTE ORDER

Entered by Michael E. Hegarty, United States Magistrate Judge, on November 25, 2015.

Plaintiffs' Motion to Stay Consideration of Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment [filed November 25, 2015; docket #50] is **denied without prejudice** for failure to comply with D.C. Colo. LCivR 7.1(a), which states,

Before filing a motion, counsel for the moving party or an unrepresented party shall confer or make reasonable good faith efforts to confer with any opposing counsel or unrepresented party to resolve any disputed matter. The moving party shall describe in the motion, or in a certificate attached to the motion, the specific efforts to fulfill this duty.

The motion does not fall under any exception listed in D.C. Colo. LCivR 7.1(b). The Court reminds the parties of their continuing obligations to comply fully with D.C. Colo. LCivR 7.1(a). *See Hoelzel v. First Select Corp.*, 214 F.R.D. 634, 636 (D. Colo. 2003) (because Rule 7.1(a) requires meaningful negotiations by the parties, the rule is not satisfied by one party sending the other party a single email, letter or voicemail).