## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Civil Action No. 15-cv-00105-MSK-MEH

ANDREW LYNN JOHNSON,

Plaintiff,

v.

JOE PELLE, REVADA FARNSWORTH, and BRUCE HAAS,

Defendants.

## MINUTE ORDER

## Entered by Michael E. Hegarty, United States Magistrate Judge, on December 11, 2015.

Upon further review of the docket in this case, the Court finds Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Answers to Additional Discovery Request [filed December 2, 2015; docket #65] was filed improperly and is, thus, **denied without prejudice**.

The deadline for discovery in this case was November 30, 2015, two days before Plaintiff filed this Motion [*see* docket #50]. Thus, should Plaintiff want to request additional discovery, he would first need to seek leave of the Court to extend the discovery deadline, demonstrating good cause to do so pursuant to D.C. Colo. LCivR 6.1(b) by explaining why an extension is required, the length of the requested extension of time, and the total number of extensions granted previously.

The Court also notes that Plaintiff's Motion fails to comply with D.C. Colo. LCivR 37.1. Pursuant to that Rule, discovery motions must set forth in the text of the motion or in an exhibit to the motion the specific interrogatory, request, or response to which the motion is directed. Therefore, should Plaintiff wish to re-file his Motion, he also must first provide to Defendants the questions he seeks answered, and then submit those questions to the Court along with his motion to compel.

In light of this Minute Order, Defendants need not follow the Court's directive to file a response to the Motion to Compel by December 21, 2015 [*see* docket #65].