IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Civil Action No. 15-cv-00433-GPG

JOSHUA LEBEY GOLDSWORTH,

Applicant,

۷.

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT, LARIMER COUNTY, COLORADO, and THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF COLORADO,

Respondents.

ORDER TO FILE PRE-ANSWER RESPONSE

Applicant currently resides in Greeley, Colorado. Applicant, acting *pro se*, initiated this action by filing an Application for a Writ of Habeas Corpus Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 challenging his conviction and sentence in Larimer County District Court Case No. 13CV0279 and 2010M1711.

As part of the preliminary consideration of the Application for a Writ of Habeas Corpus Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 in this case, the Court has determined that a limited Pre-Answer Response is appropriate. Respondent The Attorney General of the State of Colorado is directed pursuant to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts and to *Denson v. Abbott*, 554 F.Supp. 2d 1206 (D. Colo. 2008), to file a Pre-Answer Response addressing the affirmative defenses of timeliness under 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d) and/or exhaustion of state court remedies under 28 U.S.C. § 2254(b)(1)(A). If Respondent does not intend to raise either of these affirmative defenses, he must notify the Court of that decision in the Pre-Answer Response.

In support of the Pre-Answer Response, Respondent should attach as exhibits all

relevant portions of the state court record, including but not limited to copies of all documents demonstrating whether this action is filed in a timely manner and/or whether Applicant has exhausted state court remedies.

Applicant may reply to the Pre-Answer Response and provide any information that might be relevant to the one-year limitation period under 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d) and/or the exhaustion of state court remedies. Applicant also should include information relevant to equitable tolling, specifically as to whether he has pursued his claims diligently and whether some extraordinary circumstance prevented him from filing a timely 28 U.S.C. § 2254 action in this Court. Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that within twenty-one days from the date of this Order Respondent The Attorney General of the State of Colorado shall file a Pre-Answer Response that complies with this Order and addresses exhaustion, timeliness, and jurisdiction issues. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that within twenty-one days of the filing of the Pre-Answer Response Applicant may file a Reply, if he desires. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that if Respondent The Attorney General of the State of Colorado does not intend to raise either of the affirmative defenses of timeliness or exhaustion of state court remedies, he must notify the Court of that decision in the Pre-Answer Response.

Dated: March 3, 2015

BY THE COURT:

s/Gorgon P. Gallagher United States Magistrate Judge

2