IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Civil Action No. 1:15-cv-00661-REB-MEH

MODMARKET LLC, a Colorado limited liability company,

Plaintiff/Counterclaim-Defendant,

٧.

MOD SUPER FAST PIZZA, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company,

Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff.

STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER

Each Party and each Counsel of Record stipulate to the following Protective

Order pursuant to Rule 26(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure concerning the
treatment of Confidential Information (as hereinafter defined), as follows:

1. In this action, the Parties anticipate that one or both of them may seek Confidential Information (as defined in paragraph 2 below) in the course of discovery in this case. The Parties understand that with respect to Confidential Information, the disclosing party asserts that the public disclosure of such information or any exchange of such information outside the scope of this litigation could result in significant injury to one or more of the party's business or privacy interests. The Parties have entered into this Stipulation and request the Court enter this Protective Order for the purpose of

preventing the disclosure and/or use of Confidential Information except as set forth herein.

- 2. "Confidential Information" means any document, file, portions of files, transcribed testimony, or response to a discovery request, including any extract, abstract, chart, summary, note, or copy made therefrom not made available to the public and designated by one of the Parties in the manner provided in paragraph 3 below as containing information including but not limited to:
- (a) previously non-disclosed financial information (including, without limitation, profitability reports or estimates or budgets, cash flow information, percentage fees, design fees, royalty rates, minimum guarantee payments, sales reports or estimates or budgets, sales margins, balance sheets, profit-and-loss statements, or any other non-public financial information) of the producing party;
- (b) previously non-disclosed business plans, product-development information, marketing plans, and other trade secret or confidential planning information;
- (c) any non-public information of a personal or intimate nature concerning an individual person; and,
- (d) any other category of information the Court subsequently designates as confidential in this case.
- 3. Where Confidential Information is produced, provided or otherwise disclosed by a Party or a witness in response to any discovery request, or otherwise in this case, it will be designated in the following manner:

- (a) by imprinting the word "Confidential" on the first page or cover of any document produced;
- (b) by imprinting the word "Confidential" next to or above any response to a discovery request; and
- (c) with respect to transcribed testimony, by giving written notice to opposing counsel designating such portions as "Confidential" no later than fourteen (14) calendar days after receipt of the transcribed testimony.
- 4. All Confidential Information provided by a Party or a witness in response to a discovery request or in the form of transcribed testimony or otherwise in this case shall be subject to the following restrictions:
- (a) It shall be used only for the purpose of the litigation in this particular civil action, and not for any business purpose, and not for use or disclosure in connection with any other case;
- (b) It shall not be communicated or disclosed by any Party's counsel or a Party in any manner, either directly or indirectly, to anyone except for purposes of this particular case and only after the Certificate of Consent in the form of **Exhibit A** has been signed by the person receiving such information. This provision shall not apply to disclosures to court personnel and stenographers transcribing deposition testimony, or among a Party's attorneys or that attorney's internal staff, or to disclosures of Confidential Information to persons who are employees or consultants of the party who designated the information as confidential.

- 5. Individuals authorized to review Confidential Information pursuant to this Protective Order shall hold Confidential Information in confidence and shall not divulge the Confidential Information, either verbally or in writing, to any person, entity or government agency unless authorized to do so by court order.
- 6. The Party's counsel who discloses Confidential Information shall be responsible for assuring compliance with the terms of this Protective Order with respect to persons to whom such Confidential Information is disclosed and shall obtain and retain the original Certificates of Consent signed by qualified recipients of Confidential Information, and shall maintain a list of all persons to whom any Confidential Information is disclosed.
- 7. The Parties understand and further agree that certain Confidential Information may be particularly sensitive, for example information containing a Party's proprietary commercial and/or trade data or plans, such that the producing party believes in good faith that the information cannot be disclosed without the threat of competitive injury. Such materials may be identified by:
- (a) by imprinting the word "Attorneys' Eyes Only" on the first page or cover of any document produced;
- (b) by imprinting the word "Attorneys' Eyes Only" next to or above any response to a discovery request; and
- (c) with respect to transcribed testimony, by giving written notice to opposing counsel designating such portions as "Attorneys' Eyes Only" no later than fourteen (14) calendar days after receipt of the transcribed testimony.

- 8. Material designated as "Attorneys' Eyes Only" shall not, without the written consent of the Designating Party or further order of the Court, be disclosed to anyone other than:
- (a) Outside counsel retained by a party to this action and their regularly employed contract attorneys and staff;
- (b) The Court, including any staff, law clerk, magistrate, special master, or court reporter;
- (c) The Author of the document and anyone shown on the document as having received it in the ordinary course of business; and
 - (d) Independent experts retained for the specific purpose of this litigation.
- 9. Counsel for the receiving party as to any materials designated "Attorneys' Eyes Only" shall be responsible for assuring compliance with the terms of this Protective Order, and in particular, with ensuring that any such "Attorneys' Eyes Only" designated materials are maintained in the strictest confidence, are not disclosed publicly or to any individuals not described in paragraph 8, and will be responsible for ensuring that reports, memoranda, communications or any other written materials that are produced based on or arising from information designated "Attorneys' Eyes Only" are not disclosed to any individuals not described in paragraph 8.
- 10. During the pendency of this action, opposing counsel may upon court order or agreement of the parties inspect the list maintained by counsel pursuant to paragraph 6 above upon a showing of substantial need in order to establish the source of an unauthorized disclosure of Confidential Information and that opposing counsel are

unable otherwise to identify the source of the disclosure. If counsel disagrees with opposing counsel's showing of substantial need, then counsel may seek a court order requiring inspection under terms and conditions deemed appropriate by the Court.

- 11. No copies of Confidential Information shall be made except by or on behalf of counsel in this litigation and such copies shall be made and used solely for purposes of the litigation of this particular civil action.
- 12. During the pendency of this litigation, counsel shall retain custody of Confidential Information, and copies made therefrom pursuant to paragraph11 above.
- 13. No provisions of this Protective Order are intended to shift the burden of establishing confidentiality, which remains at all times on the Designating Party.
- 14. To the extent a party objects to a designation of Confidential Information, the following process shall be followed:
 - a) **Timing of Challenges.** Any Party may challenge a designation of confidentiality at any time. Unless a prompt challenge to a Designating Party's confidentiality designation is necessary to avoid foreseeable, substantial unfairness, unnecessary economic burdens, or a significant disruption or delay of the litigation, a Party does not waive its right to challenge a confidentiality designation by electing not to mount a challenge promptly after the original designation is disclosed.
 - b) **Meet and Confer.** The Challenging Party shall initiate the dispute resolution process by providing written notice of each designation it is challenging and describing the basis for each challenge. To avoid

ambiguity as to whether a challenge has been made, the written notice must recite that the challenge to confidentiality is being made in accordance with this specific paragraph of the Protective Order. The parties shall attempt to resolve each challenge in good faith and must begin the process by conferring directly (in voice to voice dialogue; other forms of communication are not sufficient) within 3 days of the date of service of notice. In conferring, the Challenging Party must explain the basis for its belief that the confidentiality designation was not proper and must give the Designating Party an opportunity to review the designated material, to reconsider the circumstances, and, if no change in designation is offered, to explain the basis for the chosen designation. A Challenging Party may proceed to the next stage of the challenge process only if it has engaged in this meet and confer process first or establishes that the Designating Party is unwilling to participate in the meet and confer process in a timely manner.

c) Judicial Intervention. If the Parties cannot resolve a challenge without court intervention, the Challenging Party shall file and serve a motion challenging a confidentiality designation, or arrange for a telephone conference with the court in lieu of such motion, within 5 days of the parties agreeing that the meet and confer process will not resolve their dispute, whichever is earlier. Each such motion must be accompanied by a competent declaration affirming that the movant has complied with the

meet and confer requirements imposed in the preceding paragraph. The burden of persuasion in any such challenge proceeding shall be on the Designating Party. Frivolous challenges, and those made for an improper purpose (e.g., to harass or impose unnecessary expenses and burdens on other parties) may expose the Challenging Party to sanctions. All parties shall continue to afford the material in question the level of protection to which it is entitled under the Producing Party's designation until the court rules on the challenge.

Due to the Parties' concerns regarding attorney-client privilege and work product protection, and to expedite and facilitate the production of electronic and hard copy data, information and documents, and to protect against inadvertent disclosure of attorney-client privileged communications and work product materials, the inadvertent disclosure or production of any information or document that is subject to an objection on the basis of attorney-client privilege or work-product protection will not be deemed to waive a party's claim to its privileged or protected nature or estop that party or the privilege holder from designating the information or document as attorney-client privileged or subject to the work product doctrine at a later date. Any party receiving any such information or document shall return it upon request from the producing party. Upon receiving such a request as to specific information or documents, the receiving party shall return the information or documents to the producing party within five (5) business days, regardless of whether the receiving party agrees with the claim of privilege and/or work-product protection. Disclosure of the information or document by

the other party prior to such later designation shall not be deemed a violation of the provisions of this Order.

- 16. Likewise, to expedite and facilitate the production of electronic and hard copy data, information and documents, the inadvertent disclosure or production of any information or document that is subject to a designation of or that may be considered Confidential Information under this Protective Order will not be deemed to waive a party's claim to or estop that party from designating the information as Confidential or Attorneys' Eyes Only at a later date. Any party receiving any such information or document shall immediately designate it as requested by the producing party. The remaining provisions of this Protective Order governing disputes over designations of Confidential Information will thereafter govern any disputes over such information or documents. Disclosure of the information or document by the other party prior to such later designation shall not be deemed a violation of the provisions of this Order.
- 17. The Clawback Provisions in paragraphs 15 and 16 above shall be governed by Federal Rule of Evidence 502(d) and is intended to be consistent with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c)(1).
- 18. In the event Confidential Information is used in any court filing or proceeding in this action, it shall not lose its confidential status as between the parties through such use.
- 19. In the event any party wishes to submit Confidential Information to the Court, either as an exhibit to or as quoted or revealed in pleadings or briefs, the party seeking to submit such information for the Court's consideration must first file a motion

for leave to submit the Confidential Information under seal pursuant to the requirements of D.C. COLO. LCivR 7.2. In the event the party seeking to submit such Confidential Information for the Court's review is not the party that designated the information as confidential in the first instance, the designating party will have the right to submit to the Court such information as it believes necessary to establish that the information is entitled to protection under the standards articulated in *Nixon v. Warner Communications, Inc.*, 435 U.S. 589, 598-602 (1978) (applied in United States v. Hickey, 767 F.2d 705, 708 (10th Cir. 1985), and *Crystal Grower's Corp. v. Dobbins*, 616 F.2d 458, 461 (10th Cir. 1980)). Until such time as the Court rules on the motion for leave to file the Confidential Information under seal, such information shall be treated as sealed and shall not be publicly filed. No party may publicly file any Confidential Information without first obtaining a determination from the Court that the confidentiality designation may be set aside and the information may henceforward be publicly revealed.

20. All persons are hereby placed on notice that the Court is unlikely to treat as sealed or otherwise to continue to maintain the confidentiality of any Confidential Information that is introduced as evidence at trial or a hearing once it has been offered into evidence in open court, except in the extraordinary circumstances where the trial or hearing has been closed to the public pursuant to the provisions of D.C. COLO. LCivR 7.2. The Court also reserves its discretion to reconsider any determination with respect to a motion for leave to file information under seal if it comes to believe as a result of

subsequent information that the sealed information no longer requires confidential treatment, including in any orders, opinions, or decisions of the Court.

- 21. The termination of this action shall not relieve counsel or other persons obligated hereunder from their responsibility to maintain the confidentiality of Confidential Information pursuant to this Protective Order, and the Court shall retain continuing jurisdiction to enforce the terms of this Protective Order.
- 22. By agreeing to the entry of this Protective Order, the Parties adopt no position as to the authenticity or admissibility of documents produced subject to it.
- 23. Upon termination of this litigation, including any appeals, each Party's counsel shall immediately return to the producing party or otherwise destroy all copies save one, for archival purposes, of the Confidential Information provided subject to this Protective Order, and all extracts, abstracts, charts, summaries, notes or copies made therefrom. With respect to materials designated as "Attorneys' Eyes Only", counsel shall destroy all copies, including extracts, abstracts, charts, summaries, and notes, and will not maintain any archival copies. Counsel shall provide each other with verification that any counsel's work product referencing Confidential Information has been destroyed save one copy for archival purposes, and all copies destroyed of "Attorneys' Eyes Only" materials.
- 24. Nothing in this Protective Order shall preclude any Party from filing a motion seeking further or different protection for the Court under Rule 26(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, or from filing a motion with respect to the manner in which Confidential Information shall be treated at trial.

DATED: this 18th day of June, 2015.

BY THE COURT:

Michael E. Hegarty

Michael E. Hegarty United States Magistrate Judge

EXHIBIT A IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Civil Action No. 1:15-cv-00661-REB-MEH

MODMARKET LLC, a Colorado limited liability company,

Plaintiff/Counterclaim-Defendant,

٧.

Name: Address:

Phone: Email:

MOD SUPER FAST PIZZA, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company,

Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff.

CERTIFICATE OF CONSENT TO BE BOUND BY PROTECTIVE ORDER

The undersigned, having read and understood the Stipulated Protective Order
entered in the above-captioned matter on, 2015, which governs the restricted
use of documents and other information designated as "CONFIDENTIAL," pursuant to
the Stipulated Protective Order, hereby agrees to be bound by the terms thereof and
consents to the jurisdiction and contempt power of the Court with respect to its
enforcement.
Date:, 2015