Overton v. Colvin Doc. 20

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO Judge Robert E. Blackburn

Civil Action No. 15-cv-01086-REB

RICHARD A. OVERTON,

Plaintiff,

٧.

CAROLYN W. COLVIN, Acting Commissioner of Social Security,

Defendant.

## ORDER FOR JUDGMENT AND REMAND

## Blackburn, J.

The matter before me is **Defendant's Unopposed Motion for Remand** [#19],<sup>1</sup> filed November 27, 2015. By this motion, defendant requests that the court remand this matter for further administrative proceedings under sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). Having reviewed the motion and the file, and being apprised of the premises, the court finds and concludes that the motion is well-taken and should be granted.

## THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED as follows:

- That **Defendant's Unopposed Motion for Remand** [#19], filed November
  27, 2015, is granted,
- 2. That the conclusion of the Commissioner through the Administrative Law Judge that plaintiff was not disabled is reversed;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> "[#19]" is an example of the convention I use to identify the docket number assigned to a specific paper by the court's case management and electronic case filing system (CM/ECF). I use this convention throughout this order.

- 3. That this matter is remanded to the Commissioner for further administrative proceedings pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g);
- 4. That judgment shall enter in favor of plaintiff and against defendant in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 58 and consistent with the United States Supreme Court's decision in *Shalala v. Schaefer*, 509 U.S. 292, 296-302, 113 S.Ct. 2625, 2628-32, 125 L.Ed.2d 239 (1993); and
- 5. That plaintiff is awarded his costs, to be taxed by the clerk of the court pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(d)(1) and D.C.COLO.LCivR 54.1 and 28 U.S.C. § 2412(a)(1).

Dated November 30, 2105, at Denver, Colorado.

**BY THE COURT:** 

Robert E. Blackburn

United States District Judge